

# Network Configuration Example

## Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Feature Guide

Release  
**12.3**



---

Published: 2012-11-15

Juniper Networks, Inc.  
1194 North Mathilda Avenue  
Sunnyvale, California 94089  
USA  
408-745-2000  
www.juniper.net

This product includes the Envoy SNMP Engine, developed by Epilogue Technology, an Integrated Systems Company. Copyright © 1986-1997, Epilogue Technology Corporation. All rights reserved. This program and its documentation were developed at private expense, and no part of them is in the public domain.

This product includes memory allocation software developed by Mark Moraes, copyright © 1988, 1989, 1993, University of Toronto.

This product includes FreeBSD software developed by the University of California, Berkeley, and its contributors. All of the documentation and software included in the 4.4BSD and 4.4BSD-Lite Releases is copyrighted by the Regents of the University of California. Copyright © 1979, 1980, 1983, 1986, 1988, 1989, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994. The Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved.

GateD software copyright © 1995, the Regents of the University. All rights reserved. Gate Daemon was originated and developed through release 3.0 by Cornell University and its collaborators. Gated is based on Kirton's EGP, UC Berkeley's routing daemon (routed), and DCN's HELLO routing protocol. Development of Gated has been supported in part by the National Science Foundation. Portions of the GateD software copyright © 1988, Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved. Portions of the GateD software copyright © 1991, D. L. S. Associates.

This product includes software developed by Maker Communications, Inc., copyright © 1996, 1997, Maker Communications, Inc.

Juniper Networks, Junos, Steel-Belted Radius, NetScreen, and ScreenOS are registered trademarks of Juniper Networks, Inc. in the United States and other countries. The Juniper Networks Logo, the Junos logo, and JunosE are trademarks of Juniper Networks, Inc. All other trademarks, service marks, registered trademarks, or registered service marks are the property of their respective owners.

Juniper Networks assumes no responsibility for any inaccuracies in this document. Juniper Networks reserves the right to change, modify, transfer, or otherwise revise this publication without notice.

Products made or sold by Juniper Networks or components thereof might be covered by one or more of the following patents that are owned by or licensed to Juniper Networks: U.S. Patent Nos. 5,473,599, 5,905,725, 5,909,440, 6,192,051, 6,333,650, 6,359,479, 6,406,312, 6,429,706, 6,459,579, 6,493,347, 6,538,518, 6,538,899, 6,552,918, 6,567,902, 6,578,186, and 6,590,785.

*Network Configuration Example Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Feature Guide*

Release 12.3

Copyright © 2012, Juniper Networks, Inc.

All rights reserved.

The information in this document is current as of the date on the title page.

## YEAR 2000 NOTICE

Juniper Networks hardware and software products are Year 2000 compliant. Junos OS has no known time-related limitations through the year 2038. However, the NTP application is known to have some difficulty in the year 2036.

## END USER LICENSE AGREEMENT

The Juniper Networks product that is the subject of this technical documentation consists of (or is intended for use with) Juniper Networks software. Use of such software is subject to the terms and conditions of the End User License Agreement ("EULA") posted at <http://www.juniper.net/support/eula.html>. By downloading, installing or using such software, you agree to the terms and conditions of that EULA.

# Table of Contents

<b>Part 1</b>	<b>Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing</b>	
<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Concepts and Reference Materials</b>	<b>3</b>
	Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Overview	3
	Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing System Requirements	4
	Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Terms and Acronyms	4
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration</b>	<b>7</b>
	Simplified Interinstance Configuration	7
	Instance Export Using an IGP Export Policy	9
	Configuring Nonforwarding Instances	9
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration Examples</b>	<b>13</b>
	Configuring Overlapping VPNs	13
	Example: Configuring Overlapping VPNs	16
	Verifying Your Work	22
	Router PE1 Status	22
	Example: Nonforwarding Instances Configuration	24
	Verifying Your Work	27
	Router PE2 Status	28
	Router CE3 Status	28
	For More Information	29
<b>Part 2</b>	<b>Index</b>	
	Index	33



# List of Figures

<b>Part 1</b>	<b>Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing</b>	
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration . . . . .</b>	<b>7</b>
	Figure 1: Nonforwarding Instance Concept . . . . .	10
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration Examples . . . . .</b>	<b>13</b>
	Figure 2: Overlapping VPNs Topology Diagram . . . . .	16
	Figure 3: Nonforwarding Instances Topology Diagram . . . . .	24



# List of Tables

Part 1	Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing	
Chapter 3	Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration Examples . . . . .	13
	Table 1: Nonforwarding Instances—Loopback Addresses . . . . .	25





## PART 1

# Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing

- [Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Concepts and Reference Materials on page 3](#)
- [Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration on page 7](#)
- [Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration Examples on page 13](#)



## CHAPTER 1

# Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Concepts and Reference Materials

This chapter covers these topics:

- [Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Overview on page 3](#)
- [Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing System Requirements on page 4](#)
- [Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Terms and Acronyms on page 4](#)

## Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Overview

When support for multiple virtual private network (VPN) routing and forwarding (VRF) instances was added to the Junos OS, the import and export of routes to other instances and routing protocols from individual instances caused an issue. Interinstance route sharing required configuration of routing table groups (sometimes referred to as routing information base [RIB] groups) for every routing instance that exported routes to other tables.

Policy-based interinstance export in Junos OS Release 5.4 and later simplifies the configuration requirements for users, maintains existing functionality, and, when possible, eliminates the use of routing table groups. This document explores in detail the streamlined configuration hierarchy that has been created for interinstance route sharing.

In Junos OS Release 5.3 and earlier, interinstance route sharing often required configuration of routing table groups by means of the **rib-group** statement. Although these configurations performed well, the routing table group technique had several limitations:

- Lack of intuitiveness—A routing table group is an unfamiliar configuration construct for many users.
- Complex configuration requirements—Routing table groups specify a primary import routing table that must match the routing table of the VRF instance on which they are applied. Thus, a different routing table group is defined for each of the instances that participate in interinstance route export.

- Redundancy—The information imported and exported by the routing table groups is already present in the router or can be deduced from most configurations (for example, overlapping VPNs).
- Per-protocol configuration—Routing table groups must be applied to every protocol containing routes designated for export.

Interinstance export is typically used in two situations:

- Overlapping VPNs—VPN configurations where more than one VRF instance lists the same community route target in a **vrf-import** policy. In this case, the use of routing table groups is particularly tricky. Incoming routes from other provider edge (PE) routers are automatically imported according to the community route targets, but local VRFs require additional configuration.
- Nonforwarding instances—Multilevel interior gateway protocols (IGPs) that have multiple routing instances and perform route sharing through interinstance route export. The IGP export policy contains the specific instances that are permitted to advertise routes.

These two scenarios differ in the way that policy clauses are specified (route targets in the VRF case; instances in the IGP routing instance case), but are similar in that import and export routes can be deduced by examining the policy configuration. In this guide, you can learn about hierarchy statements that simplify interinstance route sharing, such as **auto-export**, **instance-import**, and **instance-export**.

---

## Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing System Requirements

To implement simplified interinstance route sharing, your system must meet these minimum requirements:

- Junos OS Release 8.2 or later for support on MX Series routers
- Junos OS Release 5.4 or later for simplified interinstance route sharing on M Series and T Series routers
- Four Juniper Networks M Series, MX Series, or T Series routers for the overlapping VPNs example: Two routers act as PE routers and two act as customer edge (CE) routers
- Six Juniper Networks M Series, MX Series, or T Series routers for the nonforwarding instance example: Two act as PE routers and four act as CE routers

---

## Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Terms and Acronyms

### R

<b>RIB group</b>	A routing table group. The group is a master routing table for individual routing tables and stores information about routes that are shared between instances.
------------------	---

## V

### **VPN routing and forwarding (VRF) instance**

A private routing table created for an individual VPN customer. For more information about VRFs, see the *Junos VPNs Configuration Guide*.



## CHAPTER 2

# Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration

This chapter covers these topics:

- [Simplified Interinstance Configuration on page 7](#)
- [Instance Export Using an IGP Export Policy on page 9](#)
- [Configuring Nonforwarding Instances on page 9](#)

## Simplified Interinstance Configuration

---

By changing the configuration format of interinstance export policies, Junos OS Release 5.4 and later makes it easier to share routes between VRF instances, other types of instances (such as nonforwarding instances), and IGPs.

VRF instances can share routes with the **auto-export** statement. When you configure **auto-export**, the **vrf-import** and **vrf-export** policies are compared across all VRF instances. If there is a common route target community between the instances, the routes are shared.

For VRF instances, such as overlapping VPNs, the basic hierarchy levels for **auto-export** are as follows:

```
[edit]
routing-instances {
  instance-name {
    routing-options {
      auto-export;
    }
  }
}
```

For nonforwarding instances, routes are imported into the instance so routing protocols can announce them. For more information, see [“Configuring Nonforwarding Instances” on page 9](#).

A third option for interinstance export is using an interior gateway protocol (IGP), such as Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) or Intermediate System-to-Intermediate System (IS-IS). An example of the hierarchy used for IGP import and export is listed below.

```
[edit]
routing-options {
  instance-import;
  instance-export;
  auto-export {
    (enable | disable);
    family inet {
      unicast {
        (enable | disable);
        rib-group;
      }
      multicast {
        (enable | disable);
        rib-group;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

When configuring interinstance route sharing, keep this information in mind:

- The **instance-import** and **instance-export** commands cannot be used with VRF instances. They are equivalent to the **vrf-import** and **vrf-export** VRF-specific commands.
- Traceoptions in the master instance apply to the routing table export task. Consequently, such traceoptions are propagated to all other instances, although they can be modified as needed.
- The **auto-export** statement applies to VRF and non-VRF instances.
- Use of the command **instance-import** automatically enables **auto-export** for non-VRF instances.
- Some network administrators use the **instance-import** functionality to create communities of interest. By setting up different VPNs and sharing routes between instances as needed, administrators can tailor services to the needs of their customers. For an example, see [“Configuring Nonforwarding Instances” on page 9](#).

To save time when configuring interinstance parameters on multiple instances, you can configure the **auto-export** command to be the default behavior for all your routing instances by means of a configuration group.

```
[edit]
groups {
  vrf-export-on {
    routing-instances {
      routing-options {
        auto-export;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

At the desired hierarchy level, you apply the configuration group, and all members of the group receive the same policy:



```
apply-groups vrf-export-on;
```

## Instance Export Using an IGP Export Policy

Current configurations that use routing table groups define a policy with a **from instance** statement to select routes in an IGP export policy. However, no policy controls the export process itself. Therefore, the configuration has been simplified so that you do not need to specify additional policies to control the export process. Many current interinstance implementations use an IGP export policy model. The policy model has been extended to support both interinstance route export and IGP export.

If the **[edit routing-options auto-export]** hierarchy is enabled when an **instance-import** policy has not been defined, OSPF and IS-IS export policies are automatically examined for the presence of **from instance** statements. If these statements are present, the **instance-import** policy is selected.


This verification process prevents attribute changes from being applied twice. It also prevents the second policy (IGP export policy) from causing conflicting routing choices. The following is an example of a configuration using an IGP export policy:

```
[edit]
policy-options {
  policy-statement {
    red-ospf-export {
      from instance blue;
      then {
        tag 1;
        accept;
      }
    }
  }
}
routing-instances {
  red {
    routing-options {
      auto-export;
    }
    protocols ospf {
      export red-ospf-export;
    }
  }
  blue {
  }
}
```

## Configuring Nonforwarding Instances

In nonforwarding instances implemented in Junos OS Release 5.3 and earlier, you could configure interinstance export through use of import routing table groups. A secondary routing instance would import routes from the primary routing instance. Then, IGP would advertise the routes received from the second instance table as shown in the example in [Figure 1 on page 10](#).

Figure 1: Nonforwarding Instance Concept



In Junos OS Release 5.4 and later, you can use the **instance-import** and **instance-export** policy keywords to perform nonforwarding, interinstance route sharing. The keywords are assigned at the **[edit routing-instances *instance-name* routing-options]** hierarchy level. These statements are similar to VRF import and VRF export policies used for VRF instances.

The “rt-export” module examines the **from *instance*** statements present in an instance import policy to construct the list of import tables for a particular exporting instance. The following example illustrates the configuration hierarchy for this feature:

```

[edit]
policy-options {
  policy-statement {
    red-import {
      from instance blue;
      then {
        tag 1;
        accept;
      }
    }
    blue-import {
      from instance red;
      then {
        tag 2;
        accept;
      }
    }
  }
}
routing-instances {
  red {
    routing-options {
      instance-import red-import;
    }
  }
  blue {
    routing-options {
      instance-import blue-import;
    }
  }
}

```

To advertise instance **blue** routes through an instance **red** IGP such as OSPF, you would add an export policy to OSPF to advertise routes from the local table.

```

[edit]
policy-options {
  policy-statement ospf-export {
    from /* some criteria */
  }
}

```

```
        then accept;
    }
}
routing-instances {
    red {
        protocols ospf {
            export ospf-export;
        }
    }
}
```

When an instance import policy is configured, the policy is allowed to modify route attributes other than **next-hop**.



## CHAPTER 3

# Simplified Interinstance Route Sharing Configuration Examples

This chapter covers these topics:

- [Configuring Overlapping VPNs on page 13](#)
- [Example: Configuring Overlapping VPNs on page 16](#)
- [Example: Nonforwarding Instances Configuration on page 24](#)
- [For More Information on page 29](#)

## Configuring Overlapping VPNs

---

Policy-based instance export automatically exports routes between VRF instances that refer to the same route target community. If this feature is enabled, a VRF-target tree is constructed by examining the **vrf-import** and **vrf-export** policies configured on the system. When an instance refers to a given target in its **vrf-import** policy, this instance is added to the import list of the target. Similarly, if the instance refers to a specific route target in its **vrf-export** policy, the instance is added to the export list for that target. Route targets that contain a single importer that matches a single exporter, or that lack importers and exporters altogether, are ignored by the router when policies are evaluated.

The “rt-export” module tracks changes in routing tables that export a specified route target. When routing changes occur, the **vpn-export** policy of an instance is applied to the route. Also, if allowed, the route will be imported to all the import tables (subject to **vrf-import** policy) of the route targets set by the export policy.

The **auto-export** statement is particularly useful for configuring overlapping VPNs. The **auto-export** statement determines which routing tables to export routes from and import routes to by examining the existing policy configuration, which can include **vrf-target** configuration. (For more information on the **vrf-target** statement, see the *Junos VPNs Configuration Guide*.)

When you use the **auto-export** statement, the behavior varies significantly from the behavior of the **rib-groups** statement. With the **auto-export** statement, only the primary route from the originating routing table is exported. In addition, routes exported from the originating VRF to another on the same PE router honor the export policy changes to route attributes. As a result, you must add each originating route target to the exported routes when you use the **auto-export** statement.

The next sample configuration uses a Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) session between a PE and a CE router. It shows the configuration changes required when you use the autoexport feature. Text marked in *italics* indicates the **rib-group** statements from Junos OS Release 5.4 and earlier that can be omitted, whereas **bold text** highlights the new, simplified style of configuration.

```
[edit]
# routing-options { # Old method
# rib-groups { # Old method
# vpna-vpnab { # Old method
# import-rib [VPN-A.inet.0 VPN-AB.inet.0]; # Old method
}
## vpnab-vpna_and_vpnab { # Old method
## import-rib [VPN-AB.inet.0 VPN-A.inet.0 VPN-B.inet.0]; # Old method
}
}
}
routing-instances {
  VPN-A {
    instance-type vrf;
    interface fe-1/0/0.0;
    route-distinguisher 10.255.255.175:3;
    vrf-import vpna-import;
    vrf-export vpna-export;
    routing-options { # New method
      auto-export; # New method
    }
  }
}
protocols {
  bgp {
    group vpna-site1 {
      ##family inet { # Old method
      ##unicast { # Old method
      ##rib-group vpna-vpnab; # Old method
      }
      }
    peer-as 1;
    neighbor 192.255.197.141;
  }
}
}
VPN-AB {
  instance-type vrf;
  interface fe-1/1/0.0;
  route-distinguisher 10.255.255.175:9;
  vrf-import vpnab-import;
  vrf-export vpnab-export;
  routing-options { # New method
    auto-export; # New method
  }
}
}
protocols {
  bgp {
    group vpnab-site1 {
```

```

    ##family inet { # Old method
    ##unicast { # Old method
    ##rib-group vpnab-vpna_and_vpnb; # Old method
    }
    }
    peer-as 9;
    neighbor 192.255.197.178;
  }
}

```

In some overlapping VPN cases, additional configuration information is required:

- When **vrf-import** and **vrf-export** policies are configured on a per-instance basis, you must enable or disable the policies individually for unicast or multicast, especially when multicast network layer reachability information (NLRI) is configured.
- When you use **auto-export** between overlapping VPNs and require a subset of the routes learned from an instance to be installed into the **inet.0** or **instance.inet.2** routing tables, you must install the routes with additional configuration statements.

To support scenarios in which not all the required information is present in the **vrf-import** and **vrf-export** policies, you can configure additional routing tables with a routing table group. For example, if you wish to add routes from VPN A and VPN AB to the **inet.0** routing table, the following additional configuration parameters are required:

```

[edit]
routing-options {
  rib-groups {
    inet-access {
      import-rib inet.0;
    }
  }
}
routing-instances {
  VPN-A {
    routing-options {
      auto-export {
        family inet {
          unicast {
            rib-group inet-access;
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
  VPN-AB {
    routing-options {
      auto-export {
        family inet {
          unicast {
            rib-group inet-access;
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```

```

    }
  }
}

```

There is a significant difference in how routing table groups are used in this case and how they are used more generally. Typically, routing table groups require that the exporting routing table be referenced as the primary import routing table in the **rib-group** configuration. In this case, the restriction is lifted and the routing table group functions as an additional list of tables that export routes.




**NOTE:** When upgrading to Junos OS Release 5.4 or later, be aware that route export behavior differs when using the **auto-export** command instead of **rib-group** export:

- When you use the **rib-group** statement to export between routing tables, both primary routes (routes in the originating routing table) and secondary routes (routes imported from other routing tables) are exported to the remote PE routers. When you use the **auto-export** statement, only the primary routes from the originating routing table are exported.
- Routes exported from an originating VRF instance to another on the same PE now honor export policy changes to route attributes. When you use the **auto-export** statement, you must add the originating route target to the exported routes. With **rib-group** statements, no additional configuration is necessary.

## Example: Configuring Overlapping VPNs

Figure 2: Overlapping VPNs Topology Diagram



g017154

Figure 2 on page 16 shows a standard Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) VPN topology. Routers PE1 and PE2 are acting as PE routers, CE1 and CE2 are CE routers, and P0 and P1 are core provider routers. You will establish three VRF instances: A, B, and AB. You will also configure **auto-export** as the method of sharing routing information between instances.



This example focuses on the interinstance and policy statements. As a result, some information has been omitted.

- Because PE1 uses static routing instances, the router configuration for CE1 is not included in this example.
- Most routers display a minimal configuration. Interface addresses and loopback addresses are assumed to have been enabled properly.

For more information about VPNs, see the *Junos VPNs Configuration Guide*.

Routers PE1 and PE2 contain the bulk of the configuration. At PE1, initiate an IBGP connection to PE2 and open a VPN connection to CE Router CE1 through three VRF instances: A, B, and AB.

The **auto-export** policy is applied to all instances simultaneously by means of a configuration group. Another method of enabling this option is to configure the **auto-export** statement individually on each VRF instance.

Finally, the policy statements add the appropriate communities to each instance and accept traffic coming from the desired community. For example, the policy for VRF A sets community A on all outbound traffic leaving the instance, and only accepts traffic from PE2 that is tagged with community A.

```
Router PE1 [edit]
groups {
  vrf-export on {
    routing-instances {
      <*> {
        routing-options {
          auto-export;
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
interfaces {
  t1-0/0/0
    description " to vpn02 t1-3/0/0";
    dce;
    encapsulation frame-relay;
    unit 0 {
      dlci 100;
      family inet {
        address 192.255.197.38/30;
      }
    }
    unit 1 {
      dlci 101;
      family inet {
        address 10.3.0.1/30;
      }
    }
    unit 2 {
      dlci 102;
```

```
        family inet {
            address 10.3.0.5/30;
        }
    }
    lo0
    unit 0
    family inet {
        address 10.255.255.180/32;
    }
    protocols {
        mpls {
            interface all;
        }
        bgp {
            group pepe {
                type internal;
                neighbor 10.255.255.182 {
                    family inet-vpn {
                        unicast;
                    }
                }
            }
        }
        ospf {
            area 0.0.0.0 {
                interface t3-0/3/3.0;
                interface lo0.0 {
                    passive;
                }
            }
        }
        ldp {
            interface all;
        }
    }
    policy-options {
        policy-statement A-in {
            from community A;
            then accept;
        }
        policy-statement A-out {
            then {
                community add A;
                accept;
            }
        }
        policy-statement B-in {
            from community B;
            then accept;
        }
        policy-statement B-out {
            then {
                community add B;
                accept;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```

}
policy-statement AB-in {
  from community [A B];
  then accept;
}
policy-statement AB-out {
  then {
    community add A;
    community add B;
    accept;
  }
}
community A members target:69:1;
community B members target:69:2;
}
routing-instances {
  apply-groups vrf-export-on;
  A {
    instance-type vrf;
    interface t1-0/0/0.0;
    route-distinguisher 10.255.255.180:69;
    vrf-import A-in;
    vrf-export A-out;
    routing-options {
      static {
        route 1.1.1/32 next-hop t1-0/0/0.0;
        route 1.1.2/32 next-hop t1-0/0/0.0;
      }
    }
  }
  AB {
    instance-type vrf;
    interface t1-0/0/0.2;
    route-distinguisher 10.255.255.180:70;
    vrf-import AB-in;
    vrf-export AB-out;
    routing-options {
      static {
        route 1.1.3.1/32 next-hop t1-0/0/0.2;
        route 1.1.3.2/32 next-hop t1-0/0/0.2;
      }
    }
  }
  B {
    instance-type vrf;
    interface t1-0/0/0.1;
    route-distinguisher 10.255.255.180:71;
    vrf-import B-in;
    vrf-export B-out;
    routing-options {
      static {
        route 1.1.2.1/32 next-hop t1-0/0/0.1;
        route 1.1.2.2/32 next-hop t1-0/0/0.1;
      }
    }
  }
}

```

```
}
```

As a provider core transit router, Router P0 only needs to provide connectivity to the PE routers. You configure OSPF, MPLS, and LDP on the interfaces pointing to both PE routers.

```
Router P0 [edit]
           protocols {
             mpls {
               interface all;
             }
             ospf {
               area 0.0.0.0 {
                 interface t3-0/0/3.0;
                 interface t1-0/1/1.0;
               }
             }
             ldp {
               interface all;
             }
           }
```

Like Router P0, Router P1 also needs to provide basic core connectivity for the PE routers. You can configure OSPF, MPLS, and LDP on the interfaces pointing toward routers P0 and PE2.

```
Router P1 [edit]
           protocols {
             mpls {
               interface all;
             }
             ospf {
               area 0.0.0.0 {
                 interface t1-0/1/1.0;
                 interface t3-0/0/3.0;
               }
             }
             ldp {
               interface all;
             }
           }
```

At Router PE2, complete your IBGP connection to PE1 and finish the VPN connection to CE Router CE2 through VRF instance AB. The VRF import policy named **AB-in** is the same as the export policy used for the OSPF protocol in the **AB** VRF instance. The policy statements add communities A and B to all outbound routes and accept any traffic coming from these communities.

```
Router PE2 [edit]
            interfaces {
              lo0
                unit 0
                  family inet {
                    address 10.255.255.182/32;
                  }
            }
            protocols {
```

```
mpls {
  interface all;
}
bgp {
  keep all;
  group pepe {
    type internal;
    neighbor 10.255.255.180 {
      family inet-vpn {
        unicast;
      }
    }
  }
}
ospf {
  area 0.0.0.0 {
    interface t3-0/0/3.0;
    interface lo0.0 {
      passive;
    }
  }
}
ldp {
  interface all;
}
}
policy-options {
  policy-statement AB-in {
    from community [A B];
    then accept;
  }
  policy-statement AB-out {
    then {
      community add A;
      community add B;
      accept;
    }
  }
  community A members target:69:1;
  community B members target:69:2;
}
routing-instances {
  AB {
    instance-type vrf;
    interface t3-0/0/0.0;
    route-distinguisher 10.255.255.182:69;
    vrf-import AB-in;
    vrf-export AB-out;
    protocols {
      ospf {
        export AB-in;
        area 0.0.0.0 {
          interface all;
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```
}
}
```

At Router CE2, advertise the **10.255.255.174** loopback address into the VPN. Look for this route when you check the routing tables for the A, B, and AB instances on Router PE1. If the route appears in these instances, interinstance route sharing is successful.

```
Router CE2 [edit]
            interfaces {
              lo0
                unit 0
                  family inet {
                    address 10.255.255.174/32;
                  }
            }
            protocols {
              ospf {
                area 0.0.0.0 {
                  interface t3-0/1/3.0;
                  interface lo0.0;
                }
              }
            }
          }
```

## Verifying Your Work

To verify that your overlapping VPN configuration is functioning properly, use the following commands:

- **show route export table *table-name*** (brief | detail)
- **show route export instance *instance-name*** (brief | detail)
- **show route export vrf-target (*community community-regular-expression*)** (brief | detail)

The following section shows the output of these commands as used with the configuration example.

### Router PE1 Status

```
user@PE1> show route export
Table                                     Export      Routes
A.inet.0                                Y           4
AB.inet.0                               Y           4
B.inet.0                                Y           4

user@PE1> show route export detail
A.inet.0                                Routes:      4
  Flags: <vrf>
AB.inet.0                                Routes:      4
  Flags: <vrf>
B.inet.0                                Routes:      4
  Flags: <vrf>

user@PE1> show route export instance detail
Instance: A                             Type: vrf
  Flags: <config> Options: <unicast multicast>
Instance: AB                             Type: vrf
```

```

Flags: <config> Options: <unicast multicast>
Instance: B                                     Type: vrf
Flags: <config> Options: <unicast multicast>

```

```
user@PE1> show route table A.inet.0
```

```

A.inet.0: 10 destinations, 10 routes (10 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both
1.1.1.1/32      *[Static/5] 02:08:14
                 > via t1-0/0/0.0
1.1.1.2/32      *[Static/5] 02:08:14
                 > via t1-0/0/0.0
1.1.3.1/32      *[Static/5] 02:08:14
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
1.1.3.2/32      *[Static/5] 02:08:14
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
10.3.0.4/30     *[Direct/0] 02:08:14
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
10.3.0.5/32     *[Local/0] 02:08:14
                 Local via t1-0/0/0.2
10.255.255.174/32 *[BGP/170] 00:18:08, MED 2, localpref 100, from 10.255.255.182
                  AS path: I
                  > via t3-0/3/3.0, Push 100004, Push 100017(top)
192.255.197.36/30 *[Direct/0] 02:08:14
                  > via t1-0/0/0.0
192.255.197.38/32 *[Local/0] 02:08:14
                  Local via t1-0/0/0.0
192.255.197.248/30 *[BGP/170] 00:18:18, localpref 100, from 10.255.255.182
                   AS path: I
                   > via t3-0/3/3.0, Push 100003, Push 100017(top)

```

```
user@PE1> show route table B.inet.0
```

```

B.inet.0: 10 destinations, 10 routes (10 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both
1.1.2.1/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:28
                 > via t1-0/0/0.1
1.1.2.2/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:28
                 > via t1-0/0/0.1
1.1.3.1/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:28
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
1.1.3.2/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:28
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
10.3.0.0/30     *[Direct/0] 02:09:28
                 > via t1-0/0/0.1
10.3.0.1/32     *[Local/0] 02:09:28
                 Local via t1-0/0/0.1
10.3.0.4/30     *[Direct/0] 02:09:28
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
10.3.0.5/32     *[Local/0] 02:09:28
                 Local via t1-0/0/0.2
10.255.255.174/32 *[BGP/170] 00:19:22, MED 2, localpref 100, from 10.255.255.182
                  AS path: I
                  > via t3-0/3/3.0, Push 100004, Push 100017(top)
192.255.197.248/30 *[BGP/170] 00:19:32, localpref 100, from 10.255.255.182
                   AS path: I
                   > via t3-0/3/3.0, Push 100003, Push 100017(top)

```

```
user@PE1> show route table AB.inet.0
```

```

AB.inet.0: 14 destinations, 14 routes (14 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both

```

```


1.1.1.1/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:43
                 > via t1-0/0/0.0
1.1.1.2/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:43
                 > via t1-0/0/0.0
1.1.2.1/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:43
                 > via t1-0/0/0.1
1.1.2.2/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:43
                 > via t1-0/0/0.1
1.1.3.1/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:43
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
1.1.3.2/32      *[Static/5] 02:09:43
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
10.3.0.0/30     *[Direct/0] 02:09:43
                 > via t1-0/0/0.1
10.3.0.1/32     *[Local/0] 02:09:43
                 Local via t1-0/0/0.1
10.3.0.4/30     *[Direct/0] 02:09:43
                 > via t1-0/0/0.2
10.3.0.5/32     *[Local/0] 02:09:43
                 Local via t1-0/0/0.2
10.255.255.174/32 *[BGP/170] 00:19:37, MED 2, localpref 100, from 10.255.255.182
                 AS path: I
                 > via t3-0/3/3.0, Push 100004, Push 100017(top)
192.255.197.36/30 *[Direct/0] 02:09:43
                  > via t1-0/0/0.0
192.255.197.38/32 *[Local/0] 02:09:43
                  Local via t1-0/0/0.0
192.255.197.248/30 *[BGP/170] 00:19:47, localpref 100, from 10.255.255.182
                  AS path: I
                  > via t3-0/3/3.0, Push 100003, Push 100017(top)

user@PE1> show route export vrf-target detail
Target: 69:1                                     inet      unicast
  Import table(s): A.inet.0 AB.inet.0
  Export table(s): A.inet.0 AB.inet.0
Target: 69:2                                     inet      unicast
  Import table(s): AB.inet.0 B.inet.0
  Export table(s): AB.inet.0 B.inet.0

```

## Example: Nonforwarding Instances Configuration

Figure 3: Nonforwarding Instances Topology Diagram



In [Figure 3 on page 24](#), routers CE1, CE2, CE3, and CE4 are CE routers, PE0 and PE2 are PE routers, and Router P is the provider core transit router. CE1 and CE3 are part of a “community of interest” group called **data**, whereas CE2 and CE4 belong to a group called **voice**. Your goal is to connect the members of each group to each other by using a nonforwarding instance at the PE routers.



Note that routers PE0, CE1, and CE2 mirror the configurations on PE2, CE3, and CE4, respectively. Therefore, the latter routers are not shown in this example. The loopback addressing scheme for this network is shown in [Table 1 on page 25](#).

**Table 1: Nonforwarding Instances—Loopback Addresses**

Router	Loopback Address
CE1	10.255.255.172
CE2	10.255.255.180
PE0	10.255.255.176
P	10.255.255.178
PE2	10.255.255.174
CE3	10.255.255.182
CE4	10.255.255.181

Routers CE1, CE2, CE3, and CE4 only need basic connectivity to their directly connected PE router. You enable OSPF on the interface that connects the CE routers to the PE routers. Since the configurations for all the CE routers are almost identical, only CE3 and CE4 are shown.

**Router CE3**

```
[edit]
protocols {
  ospf {
    area 0.0.0.0 {
      interface t3-0/0/0.0;
    }
  }
}
```

**Router CE4**

```
[edit]
protocols {
  ospf {
    area 0.0.0.0 {
      interface t3-0/0/2.0;
    }
  }
}
```

PE router configuration is next. Because the configuration for Router PE0 and Router PE2 mirror each other, only Router PE2 is displayed.

You must enable the **auto-export** statement at the **edit-routing-options** hierarchy level for both the main configuration and the nonforwarding instances, establish policies that set tags on packets arriving from the CE routers, and accept packets into a specific instance that matches the corresponding outbound tags. Specifically, you configure the router to attach **adata** tag to all packets coming from Router CE3 and **avoicetag** to all

packets arriving from Router CE4. Also, forward any OSPF traffic coming from the core with **addata** tag to Router CE3: send OSPF core traffic with **avoice** tag to Router CE4.

```
Router PE2 [edit]
routing-options {
  auto-export;
}
protocols {
  ospf {
    export [tag-voice tag-data];
    area 0.0.0.0 {
      interface t3-0/1/1.0;
    }
  }
}
routing-instances {
  data {
    instance-type no-forwarding;
    interface t3-0/1/3.0;
    routing-options {
      auto-export;
    }
    protocols {
      ospf {
        export import-data;
        area 0.0.0.0 {
          interface all;
        }
      }
    }
  }
  voice {
    instance-type no-forwarding;
    interface t3-0/1/0.0;
    routing-options {
      auto-export
    }
    protocols {
      ospf {
        export import-voice;
        area 0.0.0.0 {
          interface all;
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
policy-options {
  policy-statement tag-voice {
    from instance voice;
    then {
      tag 11;
      accept;
    }
  }
  policy-statement tag-data {
```

```

        from instance data;
        then {
            tag 12;
            accept;
        }
    }
    policy-statement import-voice {
        from {
            instance master;
            protocol ospf;
            tag 11;
        }
        then accept;
    }
    policy-statement import-data {
        from {
            instance master;
            protocol ospf;
            tag 12;
        }
        then accept;
    }
}

```

On Router P, the provider core router configuration is simple. Include the interfaces that connect to the two PE routers (PE0 and PE2) in the OSPF process.

```

Router P [edit]
protocols {
    ospf {
        area 0.0.0.0 {
            interface t1-0/1/1.0;
            interface t3-0/0/1.0;
        }
    }
}

```

If all the configurations are correct, routers CE1 and CE3 (the routers tagged with the **data** tag) can send traffic to one another and routers CE2 and CE4 (the routers tagged with the **voice** tag) can communicate bidirectionally, but routers with different tag types cannot reach each other.

## Verifying Your Work

To verify that the nonforwarding instances configuration is functioning properly, you can use the following commands:

- **show ospf database**
- **show route detail**
- **ping**

The following sections show the output of these commands used with the configuration example:

- [Router PE2 Status on page 28](#)
- [Router CE3 Status on page 28](#)

### Router PE2 Status

```
user@PE2> show ospf database
  OSPF link state database, area 0.0.0.0
  Type      ID                Adv Rtr          Seq            Age  Opt  Cksum  Len
Router *10.255.255.174    10.255.255.174  0x80000014     180  0x2  0x14b3  60
Router 10.255.255.176    10.255.255.176  0x80000010     592  0x2  0x14c1  60
Router 10.255.255.178    10.255.255.178  0x80000007    1074  0x2  0x9329  84
  OSPF AS SCOPE link state database
  Type      ID                Adv Rtr          Seq            Age  Opt  Cksum  Len
Extern 10.255.255.172    10.255.255.176  0x8000000f     489  0x2  0xd258  36
Extern 10.255.255.180    10.255.255.176  0x8000000f     189  0x2  0x948d  36
Extern *10.255.255.181    10.255.255.174  0x8000000f     780  0x2  0x968c  36
Extern *10.255.255.182    10.255.255.174  0x8000000f     480  0x2  0x7aa8  36

user@PE2> show ospf database instance voice
  OSPF link state database, area 0.0.0.0
  Type      ID                Adv Rtr          Seq            Age  Opt  Cksum  Len
Router 10.255.255.181    10.255.255.181  0x80000008    1112  0x2  0x29ac  60
Router *192.255.197.117  192.255.197.117 0x8000000c    2681  0x2  0x5d7a  48
  OSPF AS SCOPE link state database
  Type      ID                Adv Rtr          Seq            Age  Opt  Cksum  Len
Extern *10.255.255.180    192.255.197.117 0x80000001    2681  0x2  0x5cf7  36

user@PE2> show ospf database instance data
  OSPF link state database, area 0.0.0.0
  Type      ID                Adv Rtr          Seq            Age  Opt  Cksum  Len
Router 10.255.255.182    10.255.255.182  0x8000000b    1117  0x2  0x53d  60
Router *192.255.197.249  192.255.197.249 0x8000000e    2686  0x2  0xbd05  48
  OSPF AS SCOPE link state database
  Type      ID                Adv Rtr          Seq            Age  Opt  Cksum  Len
Extern *10.255.255.172    192.255.197.249 0x80000002    2686  0x2  0x7d5a  36
```

### Router CE3 Status

```
user@CE3> ping 10.255.255.172
PING 10.255.255.172 (10.255.255.172): 56 data bytes
64 bytes from 10.255.255.172: icmp_seq=0 ttl=252 time=2.978 ms
64 bytes from 10.255.255.172: icmp_seq=1 ttl=252 time=2.903 ms
^C
--- 10.255.255.172 ping statistics ---
2 packets transmitted, 2 packets received, 0% packet loss
round-trip min/avg/max/stddev = 2.903/2.941/2.978/0.037 ms

user@CE3> ping 10.255.255.180
PING 10.255.255.180 (10.255.255.180): 56 data bytes
^C
--- 10.255.255.180 ping statistics ---
2 packets transmitted, 0 packets received, 100% packet loss

user@CE3> show ospf database
  OSPF link state database, area 0.0.0.0
  Type      ID                Adv Rtr          Seq            Age  Opt  Cksum  Len
```

```

Router *10.255.255.182    10.255.255.182    0x8000000b 1164 0x2 0x53d 60
Router 192.255.197.249    192.255.197.249    0x8000000e 2735 0x2 0xbd05 48
  OSPF AS SCOPE link state database
  Type      ID              Adv Rtr              Seq      Age  Opt  Cksum  Len
Extern  10.255.255.172    192.255.197.249    0x80000002 2735 0x2  0x7d5a 36

```

```
user@CE3> show route 10.255.255.172 detail
```

```

inet.0: 31 destinations, 32 routes (30 active, 0 holddown, 1 hidden)
10.255.255.172/32 (1 entry, 1 announced)
  *OSPF   Preference: 150
           Next hop: via t3-0/0/0.0, selected
           State: <Active Int Ext>
           Local AS:    69
           Age: 47:23    Metric: 2      Tag: 12
           Task: OSPF
           Announcement bits (1): 0-KRT
           AS path: I

```

## For More Information

For additional information about interinstance route sharing, see the following resources:

- *Junos VPNs Configuration Guide*
- *Junos Routing Protocols Configuration Guide*



## PART 2

# Index

- [Index on page 33](#)





# Index

## I

### instances

#### route sharing

configuration procedure.....	7
operational mode commands.....	22, 27
overview.....	3
sample configuration.....	16, 24

### interinstance route sharing

configuration procedure.....	7
example configuration.....	16, 24
operational mode commands.....	22, 27
overview.....	3

## S

### simplified interinstance route sharing

configuration procedure.....	7
operational mode commands.....	22, 27
overview.....	3
sample configuration.....	16, 24
system requirements.....	4

### system requirements

simplified interinstance route sharing.....	4
---	---

