

Technology Overview

Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers

Release
12.1



Published: 2012-02-27

Juniper Networks, Inc.
1194 North Mathilda Avenue
Sunnyvale, California 94089
USA
408-745-2000
www.juniper.net

This product includes the Envoy SNMP Engine, developed by Epilogue Technology, an Integrated Systems Company. Copyright © 1986-1997, Epilogue Technology Corporation. All rights reserved. This program and its documentation were developed at private expense, and no part of them is in the public domain.

This product includes memory allocation software developed by Mark Moraes, copyright © 1988, 1989, 1993, University of Toronto.

This product includes FreeBSD software developed by the University of California, Berkeley, and its contributors. All of the documentation and software included in the 4.4BSD and 4.4BSD-Lite Releases is copyrighted by the Regents of the University of California. Copyright © 1979, 1980, 1983, 1986, 1988, 1989, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994. The Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved.

GateD software copyright © 1995, the Regents of the University. All rights reserved. Gate Daemon was originated and developed through release 3.0 by Cornell University and its collaborators. Gated is based on Kirton's EGP, UC Berkeley's routing daemon (routed), and DCN's HELLO routing protocol. Development of Gated has been supported in part by the National Science Foundation. Portions of the GateD software copyright © 1988, Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved. Portions of the GateD software copyright © 1991, D. L. S. Associates.

This product includes software developed by Maker Communications, Inc., copyright © 1996, 1997, Maker Communications, Inc.

Juniper Networks, Junos, Steel-Belted Radius, NetScreen, and ScreenOS are registered trademarks of Juniper Networks, Inc. in the United States and other countries. The Juniper Networks Logo, the Junos logo, and JunosE are trademarks of Juniper Networks, Inc. All other trademarks, service marks, registered trademarks, or registered service marks are the property of their respective owners.

Juniper Networks assumes no responsibility for any inaccuracies in this document. Juniper Networks reserves the right to change, modify, transfer, or otherwise revise this publication without notice.

Products made or sold by Juniper Networks or components thereof might be covered by one or more of the following patents that are owned by or licensed to Juniper Networks: U.S. Patent Nos. 5,473,599, 5,905,725, 5,909,440, 6,192,051, 6,333,650, 6,359,479, 6,406,312, 6,429,706, 6,459,579, 6,493,347, 6,538,518, 6,538,899, 6,552,918, 6,567,902, 6,578,186, and 6,590,785.

*Technology Overview Spanning Tree Protocol
on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers*
Release 12.1
Copyright © 2012, Juniper Networks, Inc.
All rights reserved.

The information in this document is current as of the date on the title page.

YEAR 2000 NOTICE

Juniper Networks hardware and software products are Year 2000 compliant. Junos OS has no known time-related limitations through the year 2038. However, the NTP application is known to have some difficulty in the year 2036.

END USER LICENSE AGREEMENT

The Juniper Networks product that is the subject of this technical documentation consists of (or is intended for use with) Juniper Networks software. Use of such software is subject to the terms and conditions of the End User License Agreement ("EULA") posted at <http://www.juniper.net/support/eula.html>. By downloading, installing or using such software, you agree to the terms and conditions of that EULA.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers | 1 |
| Spanning Tree Protocol Operation | 2 |
| Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols | 4 |
| Port Roles in STP | 5 |
| Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology | 6 |
| Spanning Tree Protocol States | 7 |
| Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles | 10 |
| Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol | 14 |
| VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol | 18 |
| STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers | 22 |
| Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP | 23 |

Introduction

This Technology Overview describes and compares the Spanning Tree Protocol versions supported on MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers.

This document helps you decide which STP version can and should be used on your MX Series routers to ensure a loop-free topology.

Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers

The Spanning Tree Protocol (STP) is defined in the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard 802.1D. STP examines the network topology and calculates a spanning-tree structure that encompasses all bridges in a given Layer 2 network domain. It can disable redundant paths by pruning links that are not part of the tree, leaving a unique single path from each source to any other destination in the network.

STP consists of distance vector protocols that use distance or hop count as the primary metric for determining the best forwarding path. It uses maximum-age parameters to help avoid loops that count to infinity.

This document describes the major Spanning Tree Protocol versions supported on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers using Junos OS Release 8.4 or later, including:

- Spanning Tree Protocol (STP), specified in IEEE 802.1D 1998
- Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol (RSTP), specified in IEEE 802.1w; standardized in IEEE 802.1D 2004
- Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol (MSTP), specified in IEEE 802.1s; standardized in IEEE 802.1Q 2003
- Virtual LAN Spanning Tree Protocol (VSTP), Juniper Networks solution for using STP with multiple VLANs

Both Juniper Networks EX Series Ethernet Switches and MX Series routers run Junos OS and support a similar set of Layer 2 features with some variations. The EX Series switches running Junos OS Release 10.2 or later support: STP, RSTP, MSTP, and VSTP. VSTP is compatible with Per-VLAN Spanning Tree Plus (PVST+) and Rapid-PVST+ protocols supported on other vendors' routers and switches.

Understanding the Requirement for Spanning Tree Protocols

MX Series routers are used for deploying Layer 2 bridged networks. STPs are essential for these types of deployments, where they are used for allowing Layer 2 bridged networks to include spare, redundant links that provide automatic backup paths if an active link fails. However, whenever there are redundant paths between a source and a destination, there is the potential for forwarding loops. Forwarding loops must be avoided because they result in broadcast storms in the network. STPs are required to block redundant forwarding paths to prevent forwarding loops.

**Related
Documentation**

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

Spanning Tree Protocol Operation


The Spanning Tree Protocol is used to create a loop-free topology in Layer 2 networks. It is a Layer 2 protocol that calculates the best path through a switched network that contains redundant paths.

It allows bridges to communicate with each other for the purpose of discovering physical loops in the network. When physical loops are found, the protocol specifies an algorithm that bridges can use to create a loop-free logical topology. Blocking loops prevents broadcast storms in the network. The STP algorithm computes a tree structure of loop-free leaves and branches that spans the entire Layer 2 network.

Various Layer 2 STP control protocols take care of resolving the forwarding loops in a Layer 2 network. L2CPD is the Junos OS process responsible for all of the various STP versions in the Junos operating system.

[Figure 1 on page 3](#) shows a typical Layer 2 network in which broadcast loops can occur.

Figure 1: Flat Topology of Layer 2 Loops



In this example, STP is not enabled. Host A sends a frame to Host B to the broadcast MAC address (ff-ff-ff-ff-ff-ff). Router R2 (operating as a switch) receives the frame and sends it out to Router R1 and Router R3. When Router R1 and Router R3 receive the frame, they forward the frame to the Layer 2 switch connected to Host B. The Layer 2 switch then forwards the packet to the other router and to Host B. When Router R1 and Router R3 receive the frame, they forward the frame to Router R2. Router R2 forwards the frame to Host A, Router R1, and Router R2. Thus creating a forwarding loop.

Loop prevention in a Layer 2 Ethernet is handled differently than it is in Layer 3. A Layer 3 IP header has a time-to-live (TTL) field that is set by the original host and is decremented at each router, allowing the router to prevent looped datagrams by discarding packets that reach TTL=0. This feature is not available for Layer 2 Ethernet frames.

Therefore, after a Layer 2 frame starts to loop in the network shown in [Figure 1 on page 3](#), it continues looping forever until one of these events occurs:

- One of the bridges is turned off.
- A link is broken.
- A bridge is rebooted.

Bridging tables that are not configured with STP can be corrupted by the looping created by a broadcast storm. Looping can also be created by unicast traffic.

**Related
Documentation**

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols

This section outlines key concepts that are important to know when using STPs.

Bridge Protocol Data Units

Bridges use special frames known as bridge protocol data units (BPDUs) to transmit STP information between bridges. BPDUs carry information about bridge IDs and root path costs. STP uses BPDU packets to exchange information with other bridges. STP uses the information provided by the BPDUs to elect a root bridge, identify root ports for each bridge, identify designated ports for each physical LAN segment, and prune specific redundant links to create a loop-free tree topology.

There are two types of BPDUs:

- *Configuration BPDUs*—Under normal circumstances, once the root bridge is selected, configuration BPDUs are originated by the root bridge and flow outward along active paths radiating away from the root bridge.
- *Topology change notification BPDUs*—If there is any change in the topology, for example, a link is disconnected or a bridge fails, the bridge sends topology change notification (TCN) BPDUs to advertise the change. The TCN BPDUs flow upstream toward the root bridge to alert it that the active topology has changed.

Root Bridge

The STP root bridge is the base of the spanning tree topology, much like roots are the base of a tree. All redundant paths to the root bridge within the spanning-tree network are disabled by putting them into a blocked mode. The root bridge is chosen by all of the bridges, based on the results of the BPDU exchange process.

Bridge ID

A bridge ID (BID) is a single, 8-byte field that identifies a bridge through two subfields: the bridge priority and the MAC address of the bridge.

Path Cost

Bridges use the concept of path cost to evaluate how close they are to other bridges. The path cost depends on the bandwidth of the link. STP uses algorithms to evaluate least-cost paths as most attractive.

Port Roles

Bridges determine the root bridge and then compute the port roles based on the BPDU information, for any spanning-tree version.

Related Documentation

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

Port Roles in STP

This section describes the function of the port roles in STP. The use and implementation of port roles differs slightly between different versions of STP.

Port roles include:

- *Designated port*—Responsible for forwarding the traffic coming from the spanning-tree root bridge onto this segment.
- *Backup port*—A backup for the designated port.
- *Root port*—The root port of a bridge is the port closest to the root bridge. It is responsible for carrying the traffic from this segment toward the root bridge, using the shortest path to reach the root.
- *Alternate port*—A backup for the root port.
- *Master port (MSTP only)*—The best possible path to reach the common internal spanning-tree (CIST) root bridge from any MSTP region.

**Related
Documentation**

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology

STP always uses the same four-step decision sequence when creating a loop-free logical topology. Evaluations for computing the segments and branches of the spanning tree are performed in this order:

1. Lowest root identifier (RID).
2. Lowest path cost to root bridge.
3. Lowest sender bridge identifier (BID).
4. Lowest port ID.

Each bridge uses the four-step decision sequence to save a copy of the best BPDU for each port. When making this evaluation, it considers all of the BPDUs received on the port as well as the BPDU that is sent from that port. As each BPDU arrives, it is checked against the four-step sequence to see if it is lower in value, and thus more attractive, than the existing BPDU saved for that port.

When a bridge first becomes active, all of its ports send BPDUs every two seconds, the default time value. However, if a port hears a BPDU from another bridge that is more attractive than the BPDU it has been sending, the local port stops sending BPDUs. If the more attractive BPDU stops arriving from a neighbor for a period of time (default value is 20 seconds), the local port resumes sending BPDUs.

If a new or a locally-generated BPDU is more attractive than the existing BPDU, the existing value is replaced. Bridges continue sending configuration BPDUs until a more attractive BPDU is received.

Steps of Initial Convergence

This section describes the algorithm that STP uses for initial convergence on a loop-free logical topology. Initial convergence consists of three steps:

1. Elect one root bridge.
2. Elect root ports.
3. Elect designated ports.

When the network first starts, all of the bridges are announcing a chaotic mix of BPDU information. The bridges immediately begin applying the four-step decision sequence in order to start computing a single spanning-tree for the entire network.

First, a single root bridge is elected for the entire domain. Next, all of the remaining bridges calculate a set of root ports and designated ports to build a loop-free topology. Every non-root bridge selects one root port. Specifically, bridges track the root path cost, which is the cumulative cost of all links to the root bridge. All of the ports in a root bridge are considered to be the root port, with a few exceptions. One exception is for the port that is connected back-to-back to the same root bridge.

Finally, the designated ports are selected. Each segment in a bridged network selects one designated port to be the single bridge port that exchanges traffic to and from that segment and the root bridge.

**Related
Documentation**

- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

Spanning Tree Protocol States

This section describes essential features and operation of the original STP as defined in IEEE 802.1d.

After the bridges have classified the ports as root, designated, or non-designated, creating a loop-free topology is straightforward: root ports and designated ports forward traffic; non-designated ports block traffic.

Spanning Tree Protocol States

When a bridge is first attached to a network segment, and before it can start forwarding data, it goes through a series of states while it processes BPDUs and learns the topology of the network. There are five states in STP, described in [Table 1 on page 8](#).

Table 1: STP States

| State | Purpose |
|------------|--|
| Listening | Processing BPDUs and building active topology |
| Learning | Building bridging tables; no forwarding of data |
| Forwarding | Sending and receiving data; normal operation |
| Blocking | A port that would cause a loop if it were sending data, so it is only receiving BPDUs, until a topology change removes the possibility of a loop |
| Disabled | A port that is manually isolated from the network |

Notes on the Listening State

Initially, every bridge acts as if it is a root bridge, and enters the listening state to determine the active topology. An absence of BPDUs for a certain period of time can also cause the bridge to transition into the listening state. In the listening state, no user data is being passed; however, the port is sending and receiving BPDUs in an effort to determine the active topology. The three initial convergence steps take place in the listening state.

During initial convergence, any ports that are not elected as a designated or root port go into the blocking state.

After the default length of 15 seconds in the listening state, any ports that remain as designated or root ports progress into the learning state.

Notes on the Learning State

The learning state is a 15-second interval during which the bridge does not pass user data frames while the bridge is building its bridging table. As the bridge receives frames, it places the source MAC address and port of each frame into the bridging table. The learning state reduces the amount of flooding required when data forwarding begins.

At the end of the learning state, if a port is still a designated port or a root port, it transitions into the forwarding state. The port starts sending and receiving user data frames only after it enters the forwarding state.

The bridge spends a default of 15 seconds in each of the listening and learning states.

STP Timers

The Spanning Tree Protocol is controlled by three timers, as shown in Table 6.

Table 2: STP Timers

| Timer | Primary Purpose | Default Time in Seconds | Description |
|---------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Forward Delay | Duration of listening and learning states | 15 | Forward delay is the time that the bridge spends in the listening and learning states. It is a single value that controls both states. The default value of 15 seconds was originally derived by assuming a maximum network size of seven bridge/hub hops, a maximum of three lost BPDUs, and a Hello Time interval of two seconds. |
| Hello Time | Interval between sending of configuration BPDUs by the root bridge | 2 | The Hello Time controls the length of time between sending configuration BPDUs. The default value of 2 seconds only applies to configuration BPDUs, because they are generated at the root bridge. Other bridges propagate BPDUs from the root bridge as they are received. If BPDUs stop arriving for 2 through 20 seconds because of network disturbance, non-root bridges stop sending periodic BPDUs during this time. |
| Max Age | Length of time a BPDU is stored | 20 | Max Age is the length of time that a bridge stores a BPDU before discarding it. |

Processing of STP Topology Change Notification BPDUs

A bridge originates a topology change notification (TCN) BPDU in either of two conditions:

- When it transitions a port into the forwarding state and it has at least one designated port.
- When it transitions a port from either the forwarding or learning states to the blocking state.

Each of these two conditions changes the active topology, requiring notification to be sent to the root bridge. Assuming that the current bridge is not the root bridge, the current bridge begins the notification process by sending a TCN BPDU from its root port. It continues sending the TCN BPDU every Hello Time interval until the TCN message is acknowledged.

The upstream bridge receives the TCN BPDU. Although several bridges might hear the TCN BPDU, only the designated port accepts and processes it.

The upstream bridge sets the topology change acknowledgement (TCA) flag in the next configuration BPDU that it sends downstream from the designated port. This acknowledges that the TCN BPDU was received and causes the originating bridge to stop generating TCN BPDUs.

The upstream bridge propagates the TCN BPDU out its root port, resulting in the TCN BPDU being one hop closer to the root bridge. The upstream bridges continue using this process until the root bridge receives the TCN BPDU.

The root bridge then sets the TCA flag to acknowledge the TCN BPDU sent by the previous bridge and also sets the TCA flag in the next configuration BPDU that it sends out.

The root bridge continues to set the TCA flag in all configuration BPDUs that it sends out for a total time equal to Forwarding Delay + Max Age seconds = 35 seconds (default). This flag instructs all the bridges to purge the MAC addresses in the bridge tables and start learning again as soon as the new loop-free topology is available.

Time to Convergence

STP needs twice the length of the forwarding delay (15 seconds) to transition a port from the blocking state to the forwarding state, for a total of approximately 30 seconds to convergence. Typically, STP is configured as RSTP. If the original STP mode is needed, include the **force-version stp** statement at the **[edit protocols rstp]** hierarchy level.

Related Documentation

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles

The original Spanning Tree Protocol is defined in the IEEE 802.1D 1998 specification. A newer version called Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol (RSTP) was originally defined in the IEEE 802.1w draft specification and later incorporated into the IEEE 802.1D-2004 specification.

RSTP provides faster reconvergence time than the original STP by identifying certain links as point-to-point and by using protocol handshake messages rather than fixed timeouts. When a point-to-point link fails, the alternate link can transition to the forwarding state without waiting for any protocol timers to expire. Consequently, RSTP convergence is approximately 50 milliseconds for point-to-point links.

Port operation is similar between STP and RSTP. In both, the state of the port is variable, and determines if the port blocks or forwards traffic. Additionally, the role a port plays in the active topology varies, if it is calculated to be a root port, a designated port, and so on.

For example, in STP there are no operational differences between a port in the blocking state and a port in the listening state. Both port states discard frames and do not learn

MAC addresses. The real difference is in the role the spanning tree assigns to the port. You can assume that a listening port is either a designated port or a root port, and is in the process of transitioning to the forwarding state. Once in the forwarding state, there is no way to infer from the port state whether the port is root or designated.

This is a weakness of the state-based terminology of STP. To address this issue, RSTP decouples the role and the state of a port.

Consequently, there are only three port states in RSTP that correspond to the operational states of STP. The disabled, blocking, and listening states of IEEE 802.1D STP are merged into a unique IEEE 802.1w RSTP discarding state, as shown in [Table 3 on page 11](#).

Table 3: Port States in STP and RSTP

| STP (IEEE 802.1D) | RSTP (IEEE 802.1w) | Port Included in Active Topology? | Port is Learning MAC Addresses? |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Disabled | Discarding | No | No |
| Blocking | Discarding | No | No |
| Listening | Discarding | Yes | No |
| Learning | Learning | Yes | Yes |
| Forwarding | Forwarding | Yes | Yes |

Port Roles in RSTP

In RSTP, the port role is a variable assigned to a given port. The root port and designated port roles remain, and the blocking port role is replaced with the alternate and backup port roles. The Spanning Tree Algorithm (STA) determines the role of a port based on BPDUs.

Root Port and Root Bridge

The port closest to the root bridge in terms of least path cost (based on BPDU) is determined to be the root port. The STA elects a single root bridge in the entire bridged network of each VLAN. The root bridge sends BPDUs that have a lower bridge priority than the BPDUs that any other bridges send. The root bridge is the only bridge in the network that does not have a root port. All other bridges receive BPDUs on at least one port.

Designated Port

The designated port is the port that can send the best BPDU on the segment to which it is connected. The IEEE 802.1D bridges link different Ethernet segments to create a bridged domain. On a given segment, there can be only one path toward the root bridge. If there are two paths, there is a bridging loop in the network. All bridges connected to a given segment listen to the BPDUs of all bridges on that segment and agree on the bridge that sends the best BPDU as the designated bridge for the segment. The port on that bridge becomes the designated port for that segment.

Alternate and Backup Port

The alternate and backup port roles correspond to the blocking state of STP. A blocked port is defined as not being the designated or root port. A blocked port receives a more useful BPDU than the one it sends out on its segment. A port must receive BPDUs in order to stay blocked. For this purpose, RSTP introduces these two port roles:

- An alternate port receives more useful BPDUs from another bridge and is a blocked port.
- A backup port provides redundant connectivity to the same segment and cannot guarantee alternate connectivity to the root bridge.

When a port is selected by the STA to become a designated port in STP, the port still waits for two times the forward delay seconds (2×15 default) before it transitions to the forwarding state. In RSTP, this condition corresponds to a port with a designated role but with a blocking state that directly transitions to the forwarding state. It skips the listening and learning states, helping it converge faster than original STP.

RSTP has a backward compatibility mode in which it can fall back to STP operation on links.

The following shows the configuration statement syntax for RSTP.



NOTE: The `extended-system-id` statement is used to specify different bridge IDs for different STP or RSTP routing instances. In MSTP, each routing instance has its own bridge ID, so the `extended-system-id` statement is not used.



NOTE: To force RSTP to run in STP mode, include the `force-version stp` statement at the `[edit protocols rstp]` hierarchy level. Convergence time will then be the same as in original STP.

```
[edit]
routing-instances {
  customer1 {
    instance-type virtual-switch;
    bridge-domains {
      ...
    }
  }
}
protocols {
  rstp {
    bpdu-block-on-edge;
    bpdu-destination-mac-address provider-bridge-group;
    bridge-priority priority;
    extended-system-id;
    force-version stp;
    forward-delay seconds;
    hello-time seconds;
    max-age seconds;
    interface interface-name {
      bpdu-timeout-action (alarm | block);
      cost cost;
      edge;
      mode (p2p | shared);
      no-root-port;
      priority interface-priority;
    }
    priority-hold-time seconds;
    traceoptions {
      file filename <files number> <size size> <world-readable | no-world-readable>;
      flag flag <flag-modifier> <disable>;
    }
  }
}
```

**Related
Documentation**

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)

- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol

Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol (MSTP) was first specified in IEEE 802.1s and is standardized in IEEE 802.1Q. MSTP enables multiple VLANs to be mapped to the same spanning-tree instance, reducing the number of spanning-tree instances needed to support a large number of VLANs. MSTP provides multiple forwarding paths for data traffic and enables load balancing. It improves the fault tolerance of the network because a failure in one instance, or forwarding path, does not affect other instances.

It may be necessary to have different topologies for different VLANs, for load-sharing or other purposes. MSTP enables the grouping of multiple VLANs with the same topology requirements into one MST instance (MSTI). Instances are not supported in STP or RSTP, so those two versions have the same spanning-tree in common for all of the VLANs.

In MSTP, all of the interconnected bridges that have the same MSTP configuration comprise an MST region. An MSTP configuration consists of the configuration name, the configuration revision, and the mapping of VLANs to MSTIs.

There is a common spanning-tree (CST) instance that spans all regions and allows different regions to communicate between themselves. The CST is also used for traffic within the region for any VLANs not covered by an MSTI.

MSTP has a backward compatibility mode in which it can fall back to STP or RSTP operation on links with bridges that support only STP or RSTP.

The maximum number of MSTP instances supported in Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers is 64.

Multiple Spanning-Tree Regions

MSTP provides the capability to logically divide a Layer 2 network into regions. Every region has a unique identifier and can contain multiple instances of spanning trees. All regions are bound together using a Common Instance Spanning Tree (CIST), which is responsible for creating a loop-free topology across regions, whereas the Multiple Spanning Tree Instance (MSTI) controls topology inside regions.

In order for bridges to participate in multiple spanning-tree (MST) instances, they must be consistently configured with the same MST configuration, the same revision level, and the same VLAN-to-instance assignment mapping information.

The inheritance model is based on the hierarchy level in which the priority costs are defined. If the priority costs are defined within an interface in MSTI hierarchy level, that configuration takes precedence. Otherwise, the values are derived from the values specified under the interface in the MSTP hierarchy level.

Internal Spanning Tree, Common Internal Spanning Tree, and Common Spanning Tree

Within each MST region, the MSTP maintains multiple spanning-tree instances. All MST instances are numbered 0 through 4094. Instance 0 is a special instance for the region, known as the internal spanning tree (IST).

The IST is the only spanning-tree instance that sends and receives BPDUs. All of the other spanning-tree instance information is in M-records, which are encapsulated within MSTP BPDUs. Because the MSTP BPDU carries information for all instances, the number of BPDUs that need to be processed to support multiple spanning-tree instances is significantly reduced.

All MST instances within the same region share the same protocol timers, but each MST instance has its own topology parameters, such as root bridge ID, root path cost, and so on. By default, all VLANs are assigned to the IST.

An MST instance is local to its region; for example, MST instance 1 in region A is independent of MST instance 1 in region B, even if regions A and B are interconnected.

ISTs in different regions are interconnected through a common spanning tree (CST). The collection of the ISTs in each MST region, and the common spanning tree that interconnects the MST regions and single spanning trees are called the common and internal spanning tree (CIST).

The spanning tree computed in a region appears as a subtree in the CST that encompasses the entire bridged domain. The CIST is formed by the spanning-tree algorithm running among bridges that support the IEEE 802.1w, IEEE 802.1s, and IEEE 802.1D standards. The CIST inside an MST region is the same as the CST outside a region.

Operations Within an MST Region

The IST connects all of the MSTP bridges in a region. When the IST converges, its root becomes the CIST regional root. The regional root is the bridge within the region that has the lowest bridge ID and path cost to the CIST root. The CIST regional root is also the CIST root if there is only one region in the network. If the CIST root is outside of the region, one of the MSTP bridges at the boundary of the region is selected as the CIST regional root.

When an MSTP bridge initializes, it sends BPDUs announcing itself as the CIST root and the CIST regional root, with each of the path costs to the CIST root and to the CIST regional root set to zero. The bridge also initializes all of its MST instances and announces itself as the root for all of them. If the bridge receives superior MST root information from

another source, such as a lower bridge ID or lower path cost than it currently has stored for the port, it relinquishes its claim as the CIST regional root.

During initialization, a region might have many subregions, each with its own CIST regional root. As bridges receive superior IST information, they leave their old subregions and join the new subregion that contains the true CIST regional root. In this manner, all subregions shrink, except for the one containing the true CIST regional root.

All bridges in the MST region must agree on the same CIST regional root to operate correctly. Therefore, any two bridges in the region only synchronize their port roles for an MST instance if they converge to a common CIST regional root.

Bridge priority can be separately specified in the configuration for each MSTI.

Multiple bridge domains can correspond to the same MSTI. A maximum of 64 MSTIs can be configured. The logical ports (e.g. ge-0/0/0.1) in a bridge domain can belong to a range of VLANs; therefore, an MSTI can map to VLAN ranges.

Each MSTI only spans the VLANs of those physical interfaces specified under the interface that are within the STP configuration.

Operations Between MST Regions

If there are multiple regions or legacy STP bridges within the network, MSTP establishes and maintains the CST, which includes all MST regions and all legacy STP bridges in the network. The MST instances combine with the IST at the boundary of the region to become the CST.

The IST connects all of the MSTP bridges in the region. It appears as a subtree in the CIST that encompasses the entire bridged domain. The root of the subtree is the CIST regional root. The MST region appears as a virtual switch to adjacent STP bridges and MST regions.

The following shows the configuration statement syntax for MSTP.

```
[edit]
routing-instances {
  instance1 {
    instance-type virtual-switch;
    bridge-domains {
      bd-vlan-10 {
        domain-type bridge;
        routing-interface irb.0;
        interface ge-3/0/0.0;
        interface ge-3/0/1.0;
      }
      bd-vlan-11 {
        domain-type bridge;
        routing-interface irb.1;
        interface ge-4/0/0.0;
        interface ge-4/0/1.0;
      }
      bd-vlan-12 {
        domain-type bridge;
```

```

        routing-interface irb.2;
        interface ge-5/0/0.0;
        interface ge-5/0/1.0;
    }
    bd-vlan-13 {
        domain-type bridge;
        routing-interface irb.3;
        interface ge-6/0/0.0;
        interface ge-6/0/1.0;
    }
    bd-vlan-14 {
        domain-type bridge;
        routing-interface irb.4;
        interface ge-7/0/0.0;
        interface ge-7/0/1.0;
    }
}
}
}
protocols {
    mstp {
        bpdu-block-on-edge;
        bridge-priority priority;
        configuration-name configuration-name;
        disable;
        forward-delay seconds;
        hello-time seconds;
        max-age seconds;
        max-hops hops;
        priority-hold-time seconds;
        revision-level revision-level;
        interface interface-name {
            bpdu-timeout-action (alarm | block);
            cost cost;
            edge;
            mode (p2p | shared);
            no-root-port;
            priority interface-priority;
        }
        msti msti-id {
            bridge-priority priority;
            vlan vlan-id;
            interface interface-name {
                cost cost;
                edge;
                priority interface-priority;
            }
        }
        traceoptions {
            file filename <files number> <size size> <world-readable | no-world-readable>;
            flag flag <flag-modifier> <disable>;
        }
    }
}

```

**Related
Documentation**

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol

VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol (VSTP) is a proprietary protocol developed by Juniper Networks to allow each VLAN to have a completely independent STP configuration, providing STP control on a per-VLAN basis. For example, each VLAN can have its root bridge located in a different place. Cost values and priority values can be tuned on a per-VLAN basis. Per-VLAN control allows the network designer total flexibility when it comes to optimizing data flows within each VLAN. It also makes spanning-tree load-balancing possible. However, VSTP also creates a lot of chaotic traffic in the network as the BPDUs are exchanged for every VLAN.

Interoperability with Other Solutions

VSTP on Juniper Networks routers has some interoperability with other proprietary per-VLAN vendor solutions; specifically, VSTP can interoperate with per-VLAN spanning tree (PVST) and Rapid-PVST on IEEE 802.1Q non-proprietary trunks.

In PVST, the IEEE 802.1Q BPDUs are sent untagged on the common spanning-tree VLAN 1 for interoperability with other vendors. The CST BPDUs are sent to the IEEE standard bridge group: MAC Address 01-80-c2-00-00-00, DSAP 42, SSAP 42.

PVST BPDUs are tagged and sent to MAC address 01-00-0c-cc-cc-cd (SNAP HDLC protocol type 0x010b) for each VLAN on a trunk. PVST per-VLAN BPDUs are tunneled by pure IEEE 802.1Q bridges.

VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol Operation

In a Layer 2 bridging network with a single spanning-tree instance (SSTP), a single instance of STP or RSTP runs on all VLANs in a single bridged LAN environment.

There are certain network deployment scenarios that require different VLAN groups to be administered for trunk load-sharing or other purposes. MSTP can be used to achieve trunk load-balancing.

In Junos OS Release 9.0 and later, a single spanning tree running on each configured VLAN is supported to ensure that each VLAN has a loop-free data path through the Layer 2 network. VSTP and Rapid VSTP (RVSTP) can interoperate in these scenarios with other proprietary solutions such as PVST and Rapid PVST on non-proprietary trunks.

Virtual bridges can be defined within a routing instance by configuring the routing instance type as **virtual-switch**. Each virtual switch can run one instance of standard STP or RSTP common spanning-tree (CST) and zero or more STP or RSTP instances for each enabled VLAN for VSTP. BPDUs of the CST are sent without any IEEE 802.1Q tags (untagged frame) on a port. BPDUs for the VSTP are sent tagged with corresponding VLAN IDs.

Each instance of VSTP or RVSTP on a VLAN elects a single root bridge. The root bridge distributes the STP information associated with that VLAN to all other bridges in the network. Because different root bridges can be provisioned for each VLAN in the network, this feature enhancement can be used by the network administrator for load balancing.

To enable VSTP or RVSTP for a specific VLAN ID, the user must define a bridge domain or VPLS routing instance with a VLAN ID, and all of the logical interfaces assigned to each of them should have the same VLAN ID.

For each VSTP or RVSTP, you can separately specify **bridge-priority**, **max-age**, **hello-time**, **forward-delay**, **port-priority**, **port-cost**, **port-mode** and **port-edge**. Each of these parameters are the same as in standard STP or RSTP.

For interfaces configured at the global level, VSTP is enabled for all configured VLANs. If an interface is configured at both the global and the VLAN level, the configuration at the VLAN level overrides the global configuration.

Because VSTP is enabled per VLAN, a physical interface can be part of more than one VSTP instance under different virtual bridges, if each of those VSTP instances have different VLANs.

The Juniper Networks default implementation of STP is RSTP and the default operation of VSTP is RVSTP.

VSTP Configuration Constraints on Logical Interfaces

VSTP has some restrictions. It cannot be configured on logical interfaces that have:

- VLAN translations
- The VLAN range statement included
- Dual VLAN tags

VSTP Interoperability with Other Spanning-Tree Configurations

Virtual switches that have VLAN spanning trees enabled can still run standard STP and RSTP on the ports and can interoperate with standard IEEE 802.1Q bridges by sending untagged BPDUs to the IEEE standard bridge group (MAC address 01-80-c2-00-00-00).

VSTP does not directly interoperate with STP, RSTP, and MSTP. Instead, VSTP BPDUs are transparently tunneled by standard STP, RSTP, and MSTP bridges. To interoperate with standard IEEE 802.1Q bridges, RSTP must also be enabled on any ports where VSTP is enabled.

When interoperating with other vendor VLAN STP solutions, VSTP BPDUs that are tagged with another vendor's multicast MAC address (for example, 01-00-0c-cc-cc-cd) are tunneled across the IEEE 802.1Q CST. This allows VSTP spanning tree information to be maintained by Juniper Networks devices even if they are separated by a cloud of standard IEEE 802.1Q network devices from other vendors.

The following shows the configuration statement syntax for VSTP.



NOTE: To force VSTP to run in STP mode, include the `force-version stp` statement at the `[edit protocols vstp]` hierarchy level.

```
[edit]
protocols {
  vstp {
    bpdu-block-on-edge;
    force-version stp;
    interface interface-name {
      bpdu-timeout-action (alarm | block);
      cost cost;
      edge;
      mode (p2p | shared);
      no-root-port;
      priority interface-priority;
    }
    priority-hold-time seconds;
    vlan vlan-id {
      bridge-priority priority;
      forward-delay seconds;
      hello-time seconds;
      max-age seconds;
      interface interface-name {
        bpdu-timeout-action (alarm | block);
        cost cost;
        edge;
        mode (p2p | shared);
        no-root-port;
        priority interface-priority;
      }
    }
    traceoptions {
      file filename <files number> <size size> <world-readable | no-world-readable>;
      flag flag <flag-modifier> <disable>;
    }
  }
}
```

**Related
Documentation**

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)

- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)

[STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers](#)

These are the critical scaling and performance parameters for different STP versions on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers:

- Up to 64 MSTI instances
- Up to 256 routing instances
- Maximum 480 ports
- RSTP switchover time of < 50 ms in point-to-point links in case of link failures
- RSTP switchover time of < 50 ms in case of root bridge failover
- STP switchover time of two times forwarding delay for link failures
- 8,000 STP indices in hardware; maximum number of port and MSTI pairs is 8,000
- Maximum number of MSTP routing instances (including default) is 31

MAC Address Block

The bridge reserves a block of MAC addresses to be used as bridge MAC addresses for different STP, RSTP, and MSTP routing instances. Currently, 32 MAC addresses are reserved.

These rules apply to allocating MAC addresses to protocols running under different routing instances:

- All STP and RSTP routing instances share the same bridge MAC address.
- All MSTP routing instances get a unique bridge MAC address.
- All routing instances preserve their bridge MAC address across a restart or RE switchover.

Related Documentation

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP on page 23](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)

- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)

Restrictions and Cautions for Implementing STP

This section presents restrictions and cautions when implementing the different STP versions:

- STP can be enabled on a physical interface using only one of these configurations:
 - Different STP versions are configured within a routing instance of the type **virtual-switch**. Currently under L2CPD, a virtual switch can only be configured under the default **logical-router** hierarchy.
 - Creating a routing instance of type **layer2-control**
 - Different STP versions are configured within the default virtual switch of the default logical system.
- These are the restrictions when STP is enabled on a physical interface within a **virtual-switch** configuration:
 - No other virtual switch can have logical interfaces on this physical interface.
 - Logical interfaces on this physical interface cannot belong to any routing instance of the type **vppls**.
 - If other logical interfaces on this physical interface have routing/ccp/tcc enabled, then this traffic is not affected by the STP state of the physical interface.
- Different STP versions are supported on CE interfaces of VPLS **routing-instance** by creating a routing instance of type **layer2-control**, which cannot have any bridge domains defined.
- If STP is not configured on a physical interface, the restrictions listed above do not apply. Different logical interfaces on a physical interface can span virtual switches as well as routing instances of the type **vppls**.
- Virtual switches cannot have any logical interfaces corresponding to physical interfaces that are referenced within a routing instance of the type **layer2-control**, as shown in the following example configuration.

```
[edit]
routing-instance l2control-4-green {
  instance-type layer2-control;
  protocols {
    rstp {
      interface ge-1/2/3;
      interface ge-2/2/3;
      interface ge-3/2/4;
    }
  }
}
```

```
routing-instance green {  
  instance-type vpls;  
  interface ge-1/2/3.11;  
  interface ge-2/2/3.1;  
  interface ge-3/2/4.2;  
}
```

- Any given logical interface of a bridge domain can be associated with only one MSTI. All VLANs that are part of a VLAN range of a logical interface must be associated with the same MSTI. An MSTI must be a super-set of all VLANs that are associated with a logical interface.
- A bridge domain on an MX Series router is either associated with a specific VLAN or all VLANs (**vlan-all**); you cannot include the **vlan-range** statement. If a bridge domain is configured as using the **vlan-all** statement, it represents multiple broadcast domains, and it can be associated with multiple MSTIs. In this case, each VLAN is an independent and separate broadcast domain.
- If you include the **vlan** statement within a bridge domain and specify the **none** option, then MSTP cannot be enabled on physical interfaces corresponding to the logical interfaces defined under the bridge domain.
- MSTP cannot be configured on physical interfaces that have logical interfaces with VLAN translation. MSTP is not allowed within a bridge domain if none of the logical interfaces in the bridge domain includes the **vlan-range** statement and:
 - All of the logical interfaces in the bridge domain do not have the same VLAN
 - OR
 - All of the logical interfaces in the bridge domain have the same VLAN, but the VLAN ID defined for the bridge domain is different.
- STP and RSTP can be configured on physical interfaces that have logical interfaces with VLAN translation.

Related Documentation

- [Decision Sequence for a Loop-Free STP Topology on page 6](#)
- [Key Concepts in Spanning Tree Protocols on page 4](#)
- [Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol on page 14](#)
- [Overview of Spanning Tree Protocol on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 1](#)
- [Port Roles in STP on page 5](#)
- [Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol Port States and Port Roles on page 10](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol Operation on page 2](#)
- [Spanning Tree Protocol States on page 7](#)
- [STP Scaling and Performance on Juniper Networks MX Series 3D Universal Edge Routers on page 22](#)
- [VLAN Spanning Tree Protocol on page 18](#)