

Technology Overview

Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming Using BGP

Release
11.2



Published: 2011-05-17

Juniper Networks, Inc.
1194 North Mathilda Avenue
Sunnyvale, California 94089
USA
408-745-2000
www.juniper.net

This product includes the Envoy SNMP Engine, developed by Epilogue Technology, an Integrated Systems Company. Copyright © 1986-1997, Epilogue Technology Corporation. All rights reserved. This program and its documentation were developed at private expense, and no part of them is in the public domain.

This product includes memory allocation software developed by Mark Moraes, copyright © 1988, 1989, 1993, University of Toronto.

This product includes FreeBSD software developed by the University of California, Berkeley, and its contributors. All of the documentation and software included in the 4.4BSD and 4.4BSD-Lite Releases is copyrighted by the Regents of the University of California. Copyright © 1979, 1980, 1983, 1986, 1988, 1989, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994. The Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved.

GateD software copyright © 1995, the Regents of the University. All rights reserved. Gate Daemon was originated and developed through release 3.0 by Cornell University and its collaborators. Gated is based on Kirton's EGP, UC Berkeley's routing daemon (routed), and DCN's HELLO routing protocol. Development of Gated has been supported in part by the National Science Foundation. Portions of the GateD software copyright © 1988, Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved. Portions of the GateD software copyright © 1991, D. L. S. Associates.

This product includes software developed by Maker Communications, Inc., copyright © 1996, 1997, Maker Communications, Inc.

Juniper Networks, Junos, Steel-Belted Radius, NetScreen, and ScreenOS are registered trademarks of Juniper Networks, Inc. in the United States and other countries. The Juniper Networks Logo, the Junos logo, and JunosE are trademarks of Juniper Networks, Inc. All other trademarks, service marks, registered trademarks, or registered service marks are the property of their respective owners.

Juniper Networks assumes no responsibility for any inaccuracies in this document. Juniper Networks reserves the right to change, modify, transfer, or otherwise revise this publication without notice.

Products made or sold by Juniper Networks or components thereof might be covered by one or more of the following patents that are owned by or licensed to Juniper Networks: U.S. Patent Nos. 5,473,599, 5,905,725, 5,909,440, 6,192,051, 6,333,650, 6,359,479, 6,406,312, 6,429,706, 6,459,579, 6,493,347, 6,538,518, 6,538,899, 6,552,918, 6,567,902, 6,578,186, and 6,590,785.

Technology Overview Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming Using BGP

Release 11.2

Copyright © 2011, Juniper Networks, Inc.

All rights reserved.

Revision History

April 2011—R1 Junos OS 11.2

The information in this document is current as of the date listed in the revision history.

YEAR 2000 NOTICE

Juniper Networks hardware and software products are Year 2000 compliant. The Junos OS has no known time-related limitations through the year 2038. However, the NTP application is known to have some difficulty in the year 2036.

END USER LICENSE AGREEMENT

READ THIS END USER LICENSE AGREEMENT ("AGREEMENT") BEFORE DOWNLOADING, INSTALLING, OR USING THE SOFTWARE. BY DOWNLOADING, INSTALLING, OR USING THE SOFTWARE OR OTHERWISE EXPRESSING YOUR AGREEMENT TO THE TERMS CONTAINED HEREIN, YOU (AS CUSTOMER OR IF YOU ARE NOT THE CUSTOMER, AS A REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT AUTHORIZED TO BIND THE CUSTOMER) CONSENT TO BE BOUND BY THIS AGREEMENT. IF YOU DO NOT OR CANNOT AGREE TO THE TERMS CONTAINED HEREIN, THEN (A) DO NOT DOWNLOAD, INSTALL, OR USE THE SOFTWARE, AND (B) YOU MAY CONTACT JUNIPER NETWORKS REGARDING LICENSE TERMS.

1. **The Parties.** The parties to this Agreement are (i) Juniper Networks, Inc. (if the Customer's principal office is located in the Americas) or Juniper Networks (Cayman) Limited (if the Customer's principal office is located outside the Americas) (such applicable entity being referred to herein as "Juniper"), and (ii) the person or organization that originally purchased from Juniper or an authorized Juniper reseller the applicable license(s) for use of the Software ("Customer") (collectively, the "Parties").

2. **The Software.** In this Agreement, "Software" means the program modules and features of the Juniper or Juniper-supplied software, for which Customer has paid the applicable license or support fees to Juniper or an authorized Juniper reseller, or which was embedded by Juniper in equipment which Customer purchased from Juniper or an authorized Juniper reseller. "Software" also includes updates, upgrades and new releases of such software. "Embedded Software" means Software which Juniper has embedded in or loaded onto the Juniper equipment and any updates, upgrades, additions or replacements which are subsequently embedded in or loaded onto the equipment.

3. **License Grant.** Subject to payment of the applicable fees and the limitations and restrictions set forth herein, Juniper grants to Customer a non-exclusive and non-transferable license, without right to sublicense, to use the Software, in executable form only, subject to the following use restrictions:

- a. Customer shall use Embedded Software solely as embedded in, and for execution on, Juniper equipment originally purchased by Customer from Juniper or an authorized Juniper reseller.
- b. Customer shall use the Software on a single hardware chassis having a single processing unit, or as many chassis or processing units for which Customer has paid the applicable license fees; provided, however, with respect to the Steel-Belted Radius or Odyssey Access Client software only, Customer shall use such Software on a single computer containing a single physical random access memory space and containing any number of processors. Use of the Steel-Belted Radius or IMS AAA software on multiple computers or virtual machines (e.g., Solaris zones) requires multiple licenses, regardless of whether such computers or virtualizations are physically contained on a single chassis.
- c. Product purchase documents, paper or electronic user documentation, and/or the particular licenses purchased by Customer may specify limits to Customer's use of the Software. Such limits may restrict use to a maximum number of seats, registered endpoints, concurrent users, sessions, calls, connections, subscribers, clusters, nodes, realms, devices, links, ports or transactions, or require the purchase of separate licenses to use particular features, functionalities, services, applications, operations, or capabilities, or provide throughput, performance, configuration, bandwidth, interface, processing, temporal, or geographical limits. In addition, such limits may restrict the use of the Software to managing certain kinds of networks or require the Software to be used only in conjunction with other specific Software. Customer's use of the Software shall be subject to all such limitations and purchase of all applicable licenses.
- d. For any trial copy of the Software, Customer's right to use the Software expires 30 days after download, installation or use of the Software. Customer may operate the Software after the 30-day trial period only if Customer pays for a license to do so. Customer may not extend or create an additional trial period by re-installing the Software after the 30-day trial period.
- e. The Global Enterprise Edition of the Steel-Belted Radius software may be used by Customer only to manage access to Customer's enterprise network. Specifically, service provider customers are expressly prohibited from using the Global Enterprise Edition of the Steel-Belted Radius software to support any commercial network access services.

The foregoing license is not transferable or assignable by Customer. No license is granted herein to any user who did not originally purchase the applicable license(s) for the Software from Juniper or an authorized Juniper reseller.

4. **Use Prohibitions.** Notwithstanding the foregoing, the license provided herein does not permit the Customer to, and Customer agrees not to and shall not: (a) modify, unbundle, reverse engineer, or create derivative works based on the Software; (b) make unauthorized copies of the Software (except as necessary for backup purposes); (c) rent, sell, transfer, or grant any rights in and to any copy of the Software, in any form, to any third party; (d) remove any proprietary notices, labels, or marks on or in any copy of the Software or any product in which the Software is embedded; (e) distribute any copy of the Software to any third party, including as may be embedded in Juniper equipment sold in the secondhand market; (f) use any 'locked' or key-restricted feature, function, service, application, operation, or capability without first purchasing the applicable license(s) and obtaining a valid key from Juniper, even if such feature, function, service, application, operation, or capability is enabled without a key; (g) distribute any key for the Software provided by Juniper to any third party; (h) use the

Software in any manner that extends or is broader than the uses purchased by Customer from Juniper or an authorized Juniper reseller; (i) use Embedded Software on non-Juniper equipment; (j) use Embedded Software (or make it available for use) on Juniper equipment that the Customer did not originally purchase from Juniper or an authorized Juniper reseller; (k) disclose the results of testing or benchmarking of the Software to any third party without the prior written consent of Juniper; or (l) use the Software in any manner other than as expressly provided herein.

5. **Audit.** Customer shall maintain accurate records as necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement. Upon request by Juniper, Customer shall furnish such records to Juniper and certify its compliance with this Agreement.

6. **Confidentiality.** The Parties agree that aspects of the Software and associated documentation are the confidential property of Juniper. As such, Customer shall exercise all reasonable commercial efforts to maintain the Software and associated documentation in confidence, which at a minimum includes restricting access to the Software to Customer employees and contractors having a need to use the Software for Customer's internal business purposes.

7. **Ownership.** Juniper and Juniper's licensors, respectively, retain ownership of all right, title, and interest (including copyright) in and to the Software, associated documentation, and all copies of the Software. Nothing in this Agreement constitutes a transfer or conveyance of any right, title, or interest in the Software or associated documentation, or a sale of the Software, associated documentation, or copies of the Software.

8. **Warranty, Limitation of Liability, Disclaimer of Warranty.** The warranty applicable to the Software shall be as set forth in the warranty statement that accompanies the Software (the "Warranty Statement"). Nothing in this Agreement shall give rise to any obligation to support the Software. Support services may be purchased separately. Any such support shall be governed by a separate, written support services agreement. TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, JUNIPER SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY LOST PROFITS, LOSS OF DATA, OR COSTS OR PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES, OR FOR ANY SPECIAL, INDIRECT, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THIS AGREEMENT, THE SOFTWARE, OR ANY JUNIPER OR JUNIPER-SUPPLIED SOFTWARE. IN NO EVENT SHALL JUNIPER BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGES ARISING FROM UNAUTHORIZED OR IMPROPER USE OF ANY JUNIPER OR JUNIPER-SUPPLIED SOFTWARE. EXCEPT AS EXPRESSLY PROVIDED IN THE WARRANTY STATEMENT TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, JUNIPER DISCLAIMS ANY AND ALL WARRANTIES IN AND TO THE SOFTWARE (WHETHER EXPRESS, IMPLIED, STATUTORY, OR OTHERWISE), INCLUDING ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR NONINFRINGEMENT. IN NO EVENT DOES JUNIPER WARRANT THAT THE SOFTWARE, OR ANY EQUIPMENT OR NETWORK RUNNING THE SOFTWARE, WILL OPERATE WITHOUT ERROR OR INTERRUPTION, OR WILL BE FREE OF VULNERABILITY TO INTRUSION OR ATTACK. In no event shall Juniper's or its suppliers' or licensors' liability to Customer, whether in contract, tort (including negligence), breach of warranty, or otherwise, exceed the price paid by Customer for the Software that gave rise to the claim, or if the Software is embedded in another Juniper product, the price paid by Customer for such other product. Customer acknowledges and agrees that Juniper has set its prices and entered into this Agreement in reliance upon the disclaimers of warranty and the limitations of liability set forth herein, that the same reflect an allocation of risk between the Parties (including the risk that a contract remedy may fail of its essential purpose and cause consequential loss), and that the same form an essential basis of the bargain between the Parties.

9. **Termination.** Any breach of this Agreement or failure by Customer to pay any applicable fees due shall result in automatic termination of the license granted herein. Upon such termination, Customer shall destroy or return to Juniper all copies of the Software and related documentation in Customer's possession or control.

10. **Taxes.** All license fees payable under this agreement are exclusive of tax. Customer shall be responsible for paying Taxes arising from the purchase of the license, or importation or use of the Software. If applicable, valid exemption documentation for each taxing jurisdiction shall be provided to Juniper prior to invoicing, and Customer shall promptly notify Juniper if their exemption is revoked or modified. All payments made by Customer shall be net of any applicable withholding tax. Customer will provide reasonable assistance to Juniper in connection with such withholding taxes by promptly: providing Juniper with valid tax receipts and other required documentation showing Customer's payment of any withholding taxes; completing appropriate applications that would reduce the amount of withholding tax to be paid; and notifying and assisting Juniper in any audit or tax proceeding related to transactions hereunder. Customer shall comply with all applicable tax laws and regulations, and Customer will promptly pay or reimburse Juniper for all costs and damages related to any liability incurred by Juniper as a result of Customer's non-compliance or delay with its responsibilities herein. Customer's obligations under this Section shall survive termination or expiration of this Agreement.

11. **Export.** Customer agrees to comply with all applicable export laws and restrictions and regulations of any United States and any applicable foreign agency or authority, and not to export or re-export the Software or any direct product thereof in violation of any such restrictions, laws or regulations, or without all necessary approvals. Customer shall be liable for any such violations. The version of the Software supplied to Customer may contain encryption or other capabilities restricting Customer's ability to export the Software without an export license.

12. **Commercial Computer Software.** The Software is "commercial computer software" and is provided with restricted rights. Use, duplication, or disclosure by the United States government is subject to restrictions set forth in this Agreement and as provided in DFARS 227.7201 through 227.7202-4, FAR 12.212, FAR 27.405(b)(2), FAR 52.227-19, or FAR 52.227-14 (ALT III) as applicable.

13. **Interface Information.** To the extent required by applicable law, and at Customer's written request, Juniper shall provide Customer with the interface information needed to achieve interoperability between the Software and another independently created program, on payment of applicable fee, if any. Customer shall observe strict obligations of confidentiality with respect to such information and shall use such information in compliance with any applicable terms and conditions upon which Juniper makes such information available.

14. **Third Party Software.** Any licensor of Juniper whose software is embedded in the Software and any supplier of Juniper whose products or technology are embedded in (or services are accessed by) the Software shall be a third party beneficiary with respect to this Agreement, and such licensor or vendor shall have the right to enforce this Agreement in its own name as if it were Juniper. In addition, certain third party software may be provided with the Software and is subject to the accompanying license(s), if any, of its respective owner(s). To the extent portions of the Software are distributed under and subject to open source licenses obligating Juniper to make the source code for such portions publicly available (such as the GNU General Public License ("GPL") or the GNU Library General Public License ("LGPL")), Juniper will make such source code portions (including Juniper modifications, as appropriate) available upon request for a period of up to three years from the date of distribution. Such request can be made in writing to Juniper Networks, Inc., 1194 N. Mathilda Ave., Sunnyvale, CA 94089, ATTN: General Counsel. You may obtain a copy of the GPL at <http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl.html>, and a copy of the LGPL at <http://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl.html>.

15. **Miscellaneous.** This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California without reference to its conflicts of laws principles. The provisions of the U.N. Convention for the International Sale of Goods shall not apply to this Agreement. For any disputes arising under this Agreement, the Parties hereby consent to the personal and exclusive jurisdiction of, and venue in, the state and federal courts within Santa Clara County, California. This Agreement constitutes the entire and sole agreement between Juniper and the Customer with respect to the Software, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous agreements relating to the Software, whether oral or written (including any inconsistent terms contained in a purchase order), except that the terms of a separate written agreement executed by an authorized Juniper representative and Customer shall govern to the extent such terms are inconsistent or conflict with terms contained herein. No modification to this Agreement nor any waiver of any rights hereunder shall be effective unless expressly assented to in writing by the party to be charged. If any portion of this Agreement is held invalid, the Parties agree that such invalidity shall not affect the validity of the remainder of this Agreement. This Agreement and associated documentation has been written in the English language, and the Parties agree that the English version will govern. (For Canada: Les parties aux présentes confirment leur volonté que cette convention de même que tous les documents y compris tout avis qui s'y rattache, soient rédigés en langue anglaise. (Translation: The parties confirm that this Agreement and all related documentation is and will be in the English language)).

Table of Contents

Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming Overview	1
Operation of Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming using BGP	2
Implementation of Redundancy Using VPLS Multihomed Links Between PE and CE Devices	5
Example: Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming	7

Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming Overview

This Technology Overview provides step-by-step procedures to configure BGP multihoming and verify the BGP multihoming configuration. This document only discusses the multihomed links between the provider edge (PE) routers and the customer edge (CE) routers related to the configuration.

For more information about the basic configuration of next-generation VPLS, see the Technology Overview *Next-Generation VPLS Using Point-to-Multipoint LSPs for Unicast and Multicast Forwarding*.

VPLS emulates the broadcast domain of a LAN across an MPLS network cloud. Traditional MPLS implementations of VPLS require that all participating ingress PE routers make separate copies of each broadcast or multicast packet to send to all other PE routers that are part of the VPLS site for the same extended LAN. In a large virtual private network (VPN), replication overhead can be significant for each ingress router and its attached core-facing links.

Junos OS offers the following VPLS enhancements which provide redundancy for VPLS between PE and CE routers:

- Redundancy using BGP for multihomed links between PE and CE devices— Juniper Networks integrates the local preference and path selection capability of BGP with VPLS to allow a CE Ethernet switch to have a backup path across the network.
- Redundancy using the Spanning Tree Protocol (STP) for multihomed links between PE and CE devices— Various versions of STP can be used in the CE network to avoid loops in a multihoming environment. The provider does not have any control over this customer network configuration. The provider can also implement BGP-based loop avoidance as an additional measure to avoid loops.

The following standardized VPLS implementations are supported by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF):

- RFC 4761, *Virtual Private LAN Service (VPLS) Using BGP for Auto-Discovery and Signaling*
- RFC 4762, *Virtual Private LAN Service (VPLS) Using LDP Signaling*

For a detailed technology overview of VPLS, you can refer to *LDP-BGP VPLS Interworking* at the following location:

<http://www.juniper.net/us/en/local/pdf/whitepapers/2000282-en.pdf> .

Redundancy Using BGP for Multihomed Links between PE and CE Routers

This document explains the implementation of a BGP-based multihoming solution to provide redundancy for VPLS between PE and CE routers.

In this implementation:

- VPLS-enabled PE routers (also called VPLS PE routers) collectively elect one of the VPLS PE routers, to which a site is multihomed, as the designated forwarder of traffic between this site and all other sites.
- All the other VPLS PE routers, to which the same site is connected, do not forward traffic to or from the site.
- Essentially all VPLS PE routers behave as if the site is singlehomed to the VPLS PE router that is the designated forwarder.
- Service providers are able to prevent well-known Layer 2 loops without relying on the customer's STP configuration.
- Customers can still run STP as a fallback strategy to prevent loops that are formed without the service provider's knowledge.

The benefits of multihoming include:

- Redundancy of the link connecting the PE router and the CE device.
- Redundancy of the directly connected PE routers.
- Faster convergence when there is a link failure between a PE router and CE device.
- The same BGP attributes are used to configure primary and backup links.

Operation of Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming using BGP

VPLS provides a multipoint-to-multipoint Ethernet service that can span one or more metro areas and multiple sites. VPLS provides connectivity as if these sites are attached to the same Ethernet LAN.

VPLS uses an IP and MPLS service provider infrastructure. From the service provider's point of view, using IP and MPLS routing protocols and procedures instead of STP, and using MPLS labels instead of VLAN identifiers (IDs), significantly improves the scalability of the VPLS service.

Single CE Site Connected to Multiple VPLS PE Routers

This section describes the process used to elect a single designated forwarder for a multihomed site.

For a multihomed site, all the PE routers in the VPLS instance elect the same designated forwarder PE router using the BGP VPLS multihoming procedure. Only elected designated forwarders forward traffic to and receive traffic from the multihomed site. All other PE routers where this multihomed site is present do not participate in forwarding for that site.

All remote PE routers are aware of the designated forwarder PE router for each multihomed site and do not create a pseudowire to the PE routers that are not the designated forwarder for the multihomed site.


In Figure 1 on page 4:

- The same site ID (sometimes known as a VPLS edge identifier or VE ID) is configured on all VPLS PE routers to which a site is multihomed.
- All PE routers are aware of which sites are multihomed since they see multiple advertisements with the same site ID.
- One of the VPLS PE routers is selected as the designated forwarder for this site by all PE routers based on a deterministic algorithm.
- The algorithm selects the VPLS PE router that originates the best advertisement with a particular site ID as the designated forwarder. There are two possible selection methods:
 - BGP path selection on the route reflector and the PE routers
 - VPLS site selection on the PE router only
- If multiple network layer reachability information (NLRI) advertisements have the same route distinguisher and site ID, the router uses BGP path selection rules to select the best path. The BGP rules are:
 - Always prefer advertisements that do not have the down bit set over ones that do have this bit set.
 - Prefer the advertisement with the higher local preference.
 - Use the configurable per-site site preference to set the BGP local preference in the advertisement and influence the choice of the designated forwarder.
 - Ignore the interior gateway protocol (IGP) metric while doing path selection because the choice of designated forwarder must be the same on all PE routers.
- Among advertisements with the same route distinguisher, apply VPLS site selection rules (a subset of BGP path selection rules) to pick the select advertisement.

Figure 1 on page 4 illustrates the following four-step process to select the designated forwarder and create the pseudowire:

1. Router PE1 and Router PE2 both have the same site ID (Site 1) for Router CE1.
Router PE1 has a better local preference of 65535 and is configured as the primary router.
2. Router PE3 receives the BGP NLRI advertisement from Router PE1 and Router PE2 with the local preferences of 65535 and 1, respectively.
3. Router PE3 runs the BGP path selection algorithm and selects Router PE1 as the designated forwarder VPLS edge PE router for Site 1.
4. Router PE3 creates the pseudowire only to Router PE1, which helps to save bandwidth in the network core.

Figure 1: Single CE Site Multihomed with Two PE Routers



9040530

The resulting VPLS PE router roles for Site 1 are:

- Router PE1 is the designated forwarder VPLS edge PE router.
- Router PE2 is the non-designated forwarder VPLS edge PE router.
- Router PE3 is the remote VPLS edge PE router.

All the interfaces linking the CE and PE devices that are connected to the designated forwarder VPLS PE router, are marked **Up** and **forwarding** in **show** command output.

All the interfaces linking the CE and PE devices on the non-designated forwarder VPLS PE router, are marked **vc-down** in **show** command output. The router does not send traffic or forward received traffic on these interfaces.

Remote VPLS PE routers establish pseudowires only to the designated PE router, and tear down any pseudowires to the non-designated PE router.


Multiple CE Sites Connected to a Single VPLS PE Router for Link Redundancy

This section describe some of the operational details of multiple CE sites connected to a single VPLS PE router.

In Figure 2 on page 5:

- Router CE2 is multihomed to Router PE1 and Router PE2.
- Router CE1 is singlehomed to Router PE1.

Figure 2: Two CE Sites Multihomed to a Single PE Router on Different Line Cards



The scenario shown in Figure 2 is common. Your network might have a single PE router in a remote area, but you would like to multihome a Layer 2 network to different Flexible PIC Concentrators (FPCs) on the same PE router. This configuration provides link redundancy on the CE devices and link redundancy on the links between the CE and PE devices, but limited link redundancy on PE devices. In this case, you need the ability to configure a site to use a single active interface for forwarding.

In this scenario:

- Path selection is done per site to determine if a PE router is the designated forwarder for that site or not.
- Only a single pseudowire is established between any two PE routers, even if one or both of them have multiple designated PE routers.



NOTE: A pseudowire between two PE routers is always established between the designated sites with the minimum site IDs on the two PE routers.

- Establishing a single pseudowire avoids the need to maintain multiple flooding and media access control (MAC) address tables per instance (one per site) on each PE router.
- The local interfaces are marked **vc-down** in the **show** command output where a site is connected to the non-designated forwarder router.
- When a designated site on a PE router fails, all MAC addresses from this remote PE router have to be learned again, since the router does not know the exact site where the MAC addresses were originally learned from.

Implementation of Redundancy Using VPLS Multihomed Links Between PE and CE Devices

You might need to multihome a CE device to multiple PE routers without causing a Layer 2 forwarding loop. This is not a problem if the CE device is a router, since no Layer 2 loops

can form when using a router. However, if the CE device is a Layer 2 device, like a hub or switch, multihoming it to two PE routers can cause a Layer 2 loop.

You can use one of the following methods to prevent the Layer 2 loop:

- BGP-based primary and backup link selection.
- Spanning tree protocol (STP) to prune links to the CE router. However, this method requires the service provider to trust its customer to not cause any Layer 2 loops by misconfiguration.
- Active and standby up link functionality, such as the redundant trunk groups that are supported on EX Series switches.

The limitations of using STP on the CE site are:

- Backbone and access network bandwidth is not used efficiently.
- PE routers using STP to prevent loops with dual-homed sites receive broadcast traffic unnecessarily because the pseudowire to the standby PE router still exists.
- When the direct link between the CE and PE router fails, multihoming works fine. When a link connected downstream from the CE router fails, multihoming does not work.

The benefits and properties of the BGP-based solution are:

- BGP path selection does not have the limitations of STP.
- A CE device that is multihomed to multiple PE routers is given the same site ID on all the PE routers it is multihomed to.
- The BGP path selection algorithm selects the router that originates the best advertisement as the VPLS PE designated forwarder.
- If desired, you can set the local preference on the PE routers to control BGP path selection.
- BGP path selection occurs on the route reflector and the PE router.
- An IGP metric is not part of the selection process.
- If the route distinguisher is the same on both PE routers, the route reflector selects one PE as the designated forwarder. If the route distinguishers are different on the PE routers, the route reflector forwards both copies of the route to the remote PE routers.

**Related
Documentation**

- Example: Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming on page 7
- Example: NG-VPLS Using Point-to-Multipoint LSPs
- Next-Generation VPLS Point-to-Multipoint Forwarding Overview

Example: Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming

This example provides a step-by-step procedure to configure next-generation VPLS for multicast with multihoming. It is organized in the following sections:

- Requirements on page 7
- Overview and Topology on page 7
- Configuration on page 10

Requirements

The following table lists the hardware and software requirements for this configuration.

Table 1: Hardware and Software Used

Equipment	Components	Software
Four MX Series routers	DPC40X-1GE -X, DPC 4X-10GE-X, DPC40x-1GE-R, DPC 4X-10GE-R	Junos OS Release 9.3 or later
Two M320 routers and T Series routers	FPC 3, 10GE Xenpak	Junos OS Release 9.3 or later
Five EX Series routers	EX4200, EX3200	Junos OS Release 9.4 or later

Overview and Topology

Figure 3 on page 8 shows the physical topology used in this next-generation VPLS multihoming example.

Figure 3: Physical Topology of Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming





Figure 4 on page 8 show the logical topology of the next-generation VPLS multihoming example.

Figure 4: Logical Topology of Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming



The network state and configuration before the implementation is as follows:

- Five PE routers participating in the next-generation VPLS domain named GOLD.
- OSPF, BGP, and RSVP are configured on the MPLS core interfaces.
- The **no-tunnel-services** statement is included in the VPLS routing instance. This statement supports the use of label-switched interface (LSI) tunnel interfaces for VPLS.
- Router PE1 and Router PE2 are configured with a dynamic point-to-multipoint LSP using the **vpls-GOLD-p2mp-template** template.
- Router PE3 and Router PE4 are configured to use static point-to-multipoint LSPs.



NOTE: Single-hop point-to-multipoint LSPs are not supported, so single-hop point-to-multipoint LSPs are down.

- Router CE1 is multihomed to Router PE1 and Router PE2 through an EX4200 Layer 2 switch.
- Router CE3 is multihomed to Router PE3 and Router PE4 through an EX4200 Layer 2 switch.
- Router CE5 is singlehomed to Router PE5.
- The off-path route reflector is configured for BGP. The **family l2vpn** statement is included in the route reflector configuration.
- Router CE3 is connected to test equipment through port 203/2. The test equipment generates multicast traffic to groups 230.1.1.1 through 230.1.1.10 at the rate of 10,000 pps.
- Router CE1 and Router CE5 are configured with static Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) joins so they can receive the multicast traffic from Router CE3.
- The Layer 2 switches are configured with trunk ports to the PE routers and access ports to the test equipment.

Here is a summary of the steps necessary to complete the configuration successfully:

1. Configure a unique route distinguisher for the VPLS routing instance named GOLD on Router PE1, Router PE2, Router PE3, and Router PE4.
2. Configure the same site ID for the multihomed PE routers. Configure both Router PE1 and Router PE2 with a site ID value of 1. Configure both Router PE3 and Router PE4 with a site ID value of 3.
3. Configure multihoming under the CE1 site configuration.
4. Configure the site-preference **Primary** on Router PE1 and configure the site-preference **Backup** on Router PE2. In this case, Router PE1 has the primary link to Router CE1 and Router PE2 has the backup link to Router CE1.
5. Configure the site preference on Router PE3 and Router PE4. Configure Router PE3 as the primary and Router PE4 as the backup.

Configuration

This section provides a step-by-step procedure to configure next-generation VPLS for multicast with multihoming.



NOTE: In any configuration session, it is good practice to verify periodically that the configuration can be committed using the `commit check` command.

This example is organized in the following sections:

- Configuring Next-Generation VPLS Multihoming on page 10
- Validating the VPLS Control Plane on page 12
- Verifying the VPLS Data Plane on page 18

Configuring Next-Generation VPLS Multihoming

Step-by-Step Procedure

1. In BGP-based VPLS multihoming, it is recommended that you configure distinct route distinguishers for each multihomed router. Configuring distinct route distinguishers helps with faster convergence when the connection to a primary router goes down. It also requires the other backup PE routers to maintain additional state information for faster convergence.

There are two levels of path selection:

- The first is BGP: BGP uses a combination of route distinguisher, site ID, and VE block offset for BGP path selection.
- The second is in VPLS: VPLS uses the site ID for VPLS path selection.

By configuring unique route distinguishers, the prefixes for BGP path selection are all unique. Therefore, BGP path selection is skipped and VPLS path selection is used, which only looks at the site ID.

On Routers PE1, PE2, PE3, and PE4 configure a unique router distinguisher for the **GOLD** routing instance.

```
user@PE1# set routing-instance GOLD route-distinguisher 1.1.1.1:1
```

```
user@PE2# set routing-instance GOLD route-distinguisher 2.2.2.2:10
```

```
user@PE3# set routing-instance GOLD route-distinguisher 3.3.3.3:1
```

```
user@PE4# set routing-instance GOLD route-distinguisher 4.4.4.4:10
```

2. Configure site ID 1 on Routers PE1 and PE2 for Router CE1. Configure site ID 3 on Routers PE3 and PE4 for Router CE3.

```
user@PE1# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE1 site-identifier 1
```

```
user@PE2# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE1 site-identifier 1
```

```
user@PE3# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE3 site-identifier 3
```

```
user@PE4# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE3 site-identifier 3
```

3. Enable multihoming by including the **multi-homing** statement under the multihomed site configuration on Router PE1, Router PE2, Router PE3, and Router PE4.

```
user@PE1# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE1 multi-homing
```

```
user@PE2# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE1 multi-homing
```

```
user@PE3# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE3 multi-homing
```

```
user@PE4# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE3 multi-homing
```

4. Include the **site-preference primary** statement on Router PE1 and Router PE3, and include the **site-preference backup** statement on Router PE2 and Router PE4. The **site-preference primary** statement sets the local preference to the highest value (65535) and the **site-preference backup** statement sets the BGP local preference to 1. Since the site ID is the same, the routers select the highest local preference value as the designated forwarder.

```
user@PE1# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE1 site-preference primary
```

```
user@PE2# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE1 site-preference backup
```

```
user@PE3# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE3 site-preference  
primary
```

```
user@PE4# set routing-instance GOLD protocols vpls site CE3 site-preference  
backup
```

Validating the VPLS Control Plane

Step-by-Step Procedure This section presents show commands that you can use to verify the operation of the example configuration.

In this example the traffic patterns are:

- The source is connected to Router CE3 and sends 10,000 pps for the groups 230.1.1.1 to 230.1.1.10. Router CE3 is configured as a rendezvous point.
- Multicast receivers are connected to both Router CE1 and Router CE5. Protocol Independent Multicast (PIM) join messages are generated by the test equipment.
- The link between Router PE3 and Router CE3 and the link between Router PE1 and Router CE1 are configured as primaries for VPLS multihoming.
- All PE routers have a BGP session with the route reflector.
- All PE routers have a label-switched path (LSP) that is created to the route reflector so that the PE routers have a route to the route reflector in the **inet.3** table for route resolution.

1. On Router PE1, use the **show vpls connections** command to verify that the VPLS connections are **Up** between Router PE1 and Router PE3 and between Router PE1 and PE5. Router PE1 is the primary link selected by the VPLS multihoming configuration.

```
user@PE1# show vpls connections
```

```
Layer-2 VPN connections:
```

```
Legend for connection status (St)
```

```
EI -- encapsulation invalid      NC -- interface encapsulation not CCC/TCC/VPLS
EM -- encapsulation mismatch     WE -- interface and instance encaps not same
VC-Dn -- Virtual circuit down   NP -- interface hardware not present
CM -- control-word mismatch     -> -- only outbound connection is up
CN -- circuit not provisioned   <- -- only inbound connection is up
OR -- out of range              Up -- operational
OL -- no outgoing label         Dn -- down
LD -- local site signaled down  CF -- call admission control failure
RD -- remote site signaled down SC -- local and remote site ID collision
LN -- local site not designated LM -- local site ID not minimum designated
RN -- remote site not designated RM -- remote site ID not minimum designated
XX -- unknown connection status IL -- no incoming label
MM -- MTU mismatch              MI -- Mesh-Group ID not available
BK -- Backup connection         ST -- Standby connection
```

```
Legend for interface status
```

```
Up -- operational
```

```
Dn -- down
```

```
Instance: GOLD
```

```
Local site: CE1 (1)
```

connection-site	Type	St	Time last up	# Up trans
1	rmt	RN		
3	rmt	Up	Nov 16 11:22:44 2009	1
Remote PE: 3.3.3.3, Negotiated control-word: No				
Incoming label: 262147, Outgoing label: 262145				
Local interface: lsi.1048835, Status: Up, Encapsulation: VPLS				
Description: Intf - vpls GOLD local site 1 remote site 3				
5	rmt	Up	Nov 16 11:22:46 2009	1
Remote PE: 5.5.5.5, Negotiated control-word: No				
Incoming label: 262149, Outgoing label: 262161				
Local interface: lsi.1048836, Status: Up, Encapsulation: VPLS				
Description: Intf - vpls GOLD local site 1 remote site 5				

2. On Router PE2, use the **show vpls connections** command to verify that the VPLS connections to Router PE3 and Router PE5 are in the **LN** state, meaning the local router is not the designated forwarder. Router PE2 is configured to be the backup link for Router CE1.

```
user@PE2# show vpls connections
```

```
...
```

```
Instance: GOLD
```

```
Local site: CE1 (1)
```

connection-site	Type	St	Time last up	# Up trans
1	rmt	LN		
3	rmt	LN		
5	rmt	LN		

- On Router PE3, use the **show vpls connections** command to verify that the VPLS connections to Router PE1 and Router PE5 are **Up**. Router PE3 is configured to be the primary link for Router CE3.

```
user@PE3# show vpls connections
```

```
...
```

```
Instance: GOLD
```

```
Local site: CE3 (3)
```

connection-site	Type	St	Time last up	# Up trans
1	rmt	Up	Nov 16 11:22:01 2009	1
Remote PE: 1.1.1.1, Negotiated control-word: No				
Incoming label: 262145, Outgoing label: 262147				
Local interface: lsi.1048832, Status: Up, Encapsulation: VPLS				
Description: Intf - vpls GOLD local site 3 remote site 1				
3	rmt	RN		
5	rmt	Up	Nov 16 11:22:56 2009	1
Remote PE: 5.5.5.5, Negotiated control-word: No				
Incoming label: 262149, Outgoing label: 262163				
Local interface: lsi.1048834, Status: Up, Encapsulation: VPLS				
Description: Intf - vpls GOLD local site 3 remote site 5				

- On Router PE4, use the **show vpls connections** command to verify that the VPLS connections are in the **LN** state, meaning the local site is not designated. Router PE4 is configured to be the backup link for Router CE3.

```
user@PE4# show vpls connections
```

```
...
```

```
Instance: GOLD
```

```
Local site: CE3 (3)
```

connection-site	Type	St	Time last up	# Up trans
1	rmt	LN		
3	rmt	SC		
5	rmt	LN		

- On Router PE1, use the **show route advertising-protocol** command to verify that Router PE1 (the multihoming primary router) is sending the BGP Layer 2 VPN route advertisement to the route reflector with the local preference value of **65535**. The local preference is used by Router PE3 to select Router PE1 as the designated forwarder, rather than selecting Router PE2 that has a local preference of 1.

```
user@PE1# show route advertising-protocol bgp 7.7.7.7 extensive
```

```
GOLD.12vpn.0: 5 destinations, 5 routes (5 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
```

```
* 1.1.1.1:1:1:1/96 (1 entry, 1 announced)
```

```
BGP group to-RR type Internal
```

```
Route Distinguisher: 1.1.1.1:1
```

```
Label-base: 262145, range: 8
```

```
Nexthop: Self
```

```
Flags: Nexthop Change
```

```
Localpref: 65535
```

```
AS path: [65000] I
```

```
Communities: target:65000:1 Layer2-info: encaps:VPLS, control flags:, mtu: 0, site preference: 65535
```

```
PMSI: Flags 0:RSVP-TE:label[0:0:0]:Session_13[1.1.1.1:0:9519:1.1.1.1]
```

6. On Router PE2, use the **show route advertising-protocol** command to verify that Router PE2 is configured as the multihoming backup with a local preference of 1.

```
user@PE2# show route advertising-protocol bgp 7.7.7 extensive
```

```
GOLD.12vpn.0: 5 destinations, 5 routes (5 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
* 2.2.2.2:10:1:1/96 (1 entry, 1 announced)
  BGP group to-RR type Internal
    Route Distinguisher: 2.2.2.2:10
    Label-base: 262145, range: 8
    Nexthop: Self
    Flags: Nexthop Change
    Localpref: 1
    AS path: [65000] I
    Communities: target:65000:1 Layer2-info: encaps:VPLS, control flags:, mtu: 0, site preference: 1
```

7. On Router PE3, use the **show route receive-protocol** command to verify that Router PE3 receives the Layer 2 VPN route from the route reflector for Router PE1 and Router PE2 with different local preference values.

BGP route selection is based on the received **l2vpn** routes for the VPLS site connected to multihomed PE routers. Since the route distinguishers are different on Router PE1 and Router PE2, Router PE3 and Router PE4 consider the received routes from Router PE1 and Router PE2 as different routes. Router PE3 and Router PE4 run the BGP path selection algorithm and select Router PE1, the router advertising the route with the higher local preference value, as the designated forwarder.

```
user@PE3# show route receive-protocol bgp 7.7.7
```

```
bgp.12vpn.0: 4 destinations, 4 routes (4 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
  Prefix                Nexthop                MED      Lclpref    AS path

  1.1.1.1:1:1:1/96
  *                      1.1.1.1                65535     I
  2.2.2.2:10:1:1/96
  *                      2.2.2.2                1         I
  4.4.4.4:10:3:1/96
  *                      4.4.4.4                1         I
  5.5.5.5:10:5:1/96
  *                      5.5.5.5                100        I

GOLD.12vpn.0: 5 destinations, 5 routes (5 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
  Prefix                Nexthop                MED      Lclpref    AS path

  1.1.1.1:1:1:1/96
  *                      1.1.1.1                65535     I
  2.2.2.2:10:1:1/96
  *                      2.2.2.2                1         I
  4.4.4.4:10:3:1/96
  *                      4.4.4.4                1         I
  5.5.5.5:10:5:1/96
  *                      5.5.5.5                100        I
```

8. On Router PE3, use the **show route table** command to verify that Router PE3 has selected the static point-to-multipoint LSP from Router PE3 to Router PE1 for forwarding.

Notice that Router PE2 does not have any provider multicast service interface (PMSI) flags because PMSI attributes are not attached.

user@PE3# show route table GOLD.l2vpn.0 extensive

GOLD.l2vpn.0: 5 destinations, 5 routes (5 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)

1.1.1.1:1:1:1/96 (1 entry, 1 announced)

```
*BGP      Preference: 170/-65536
          Route Distinguisher: 1.1.1.1:1
          PMSI: Flags 0:RSVP-TE:label[0:0:0]:Session_13[1.1.1.1:0:9519:1.1.1]
          Next hop type: Indirect
          Next-hop reference count: 4
          Source: 7.7.7.7
          Protocol next hop: 1.1.1.1
          Indirect next hop: 2 no-forward
          State: <Secondary Active Int Ext>
          Local AS: 65000 Peer AS: 65000
          Age: 2:30:44    Metric2: 1
          Task: BGP_65000.7.7.7.7+179
          Announcement bits (1): 0-GOLD-l2vpn
          AS path: I (Originator) Cluster list: 7.7.7.7
          AS path: Originator ID: 1.1.1.1
          Communities: target:65000:1 Layer2-info: encaps:VPLS, control flags:, mtu: 0, site
```

preference: 65535

```
Import Accepted
Label-base: 262145, range: 8
Localpref: 65535
Router ID: 7.7.7.7
Primary Routing Table bgp.l2vpn.0
Indirect next hops: 1
  Protocol next hop: 1.1.1.1 Metric: 3
  Indirect next hop: 2 no-forward
  Indirect path forwarding next hops: 1
    Next hop type: Router
    Next hop: 10.10.8.2 via xe-0/1/0.0 weight 0x1
  1.1.1.1/32 Originating RIB: inet.3
    Metric: 3                      Node path count: 1
    Forwarding nexthops: 1
    Nexthop: 10.10.8.2 via xe-0/1/0.0
```

2.2.2.2:10:1:1/96 (1 entry, 1 announced)

```
*BGP      Preference: 170/-2
          Route Distinguisher: 2.2.2.2:10
          Next hop type: Indirect
          Next-hop reference count: 3
          Source: 7.7.7.7
          Protocol next hop: 2.2.2.2
          Indirect next hop: 2 no-forward
          State: <Secondary Active Int Ext>
          Local AS: 65000 Peer AS: 65000
          Age: 2:30:44    Metric2: 1
          Task: BGP_65000.7.7.7.7+179
          Announcement bits (1): 0-GOLD-l2vpn
          AS path: I (Originator) Cluster list: 7.7.7.7
          AS path: Originator ID: 2.2.2.2
          Communities: target:65000:1 Layer2-info: encaps:VPLS, control flags:, mtu: 0, site
```

preference: 1

```
Import Accepted
Label-base: 262145, range: 8
Localpref: 1
Router ID: 7.7.7.7
```



```

Primary Routing Table bgp.12vpn.0
Indirect next hops: 1
  Protocol next hop: 2.2.2.2 Metric: 3
  Indirect next hop: 2 no-forward
  Indirect path forwarding next hops: 1
    Next hop type: Router
    Next hop: 10.10.8.2 via xe-0/1/0.0 weight 0x1
  2.2.2.2/32 Originating RIB: inet.3
    Metric: 3                      Node path count: 1
    Forwarding nexthops: 1
      Nexthop: 10.10.8.2 via xe-0/1/0.0

```

9. On Router PE3, use the **show vpls connections** command to verify that the VPLS connection is in the **Up** state.

Notice the display also shows the local interface and the incoming and outgoing label values used.

```
user@PE3# show vpls connections extensive
```

```
...
```

```

Instance: GOLD
Local site: CE3 (3)
  Number of local interfaces: 1
  Number of local interfaces up: 1
  IRB interface present: no
  ge-1/0/0.1
    lsi.1048832      1      Intf - vpls GOLD local site 3 remote site 1
    lsi.1048833      2      Intf - vpls GOLD local site 3 remote site 2
      Interface flags: VC-Down
    lsi.1048834      5      Intf - vpls GOLD local site 3 remote site 5
      Interface flags: VC-Down
Label-base      Offset      Range      Preference
262145          1          8          65535
connection-site      Type      St      Time last up      # Up trans
1                    rmt      Up      Nov 16 11:22:01 2009      1
  Remote PE: 1.1.1.1, Negotiated control-word: No
  Incoming label: 262145, Outgoing label: 262147
  Local interface: lsi.1048832, Status: Up, Encapsulation: VPLS
  Description: Intf - vpls GOLD local site 3 remote site 1
  RSVP-TE P2MP lsp:
    Egress branch LSP: 3.3.3.3:1.1.1.1:1:vpls:GOLD, State: Up
Connection History:
  Nov 16 11:22:54 2009 PE route changed
  Nov 16 11:22:01 2009 status update timer
  Nov 16 11:22:01 2009 PE route changed
  Nov 16 11:22:01 2009 Out lbl Update      262147
  Nov 16 11:22:01 2009 In lbl Update      262145
  Nov 16 11:22:01 2009 loc intf up      lsi.1048832
3                    rmt      RN
5                    rmt      RD
Ingress RSVP-TE P2MP LSP: vpls-GOLD, Flood next-hop ID: 616

```

Verifying the VPLS Data Plane

Step-by-Step Procedure After the control plane is verified using the previous steps, you can verify the data plane. The data plane operation in the VPLS multihoming scenario is the same as the regular next-generation VPLS operation. This section describes the **show** command outputs that you can use to validate the data plane.

1. On Router PE3, use the **show mpls lsp** command to verify the state of the static LSPs and sub-LSPs.

Router PE2 is configured with static point-to-multipoint LSPs and sub-LSPs with link protection. Point to multipoint LSPs are not supported for single-hop LSPs. In the following output notice that the single-hop point-to-multipoint LSP from Router PE3 to Router PE4 is **down**.

```
user@PE3# show mpls lsp p2mp ingress

Ingress LSP: 1 sessions
P2MP name: vpls-GOLD, P2MP branch count: 4
To          From          State Rt P    ActivePath    LSPname
5.5.5.5     3.3.3.3      Up    0  *           to-pe5
1.1.1.1     3.3.3.3      Up    0  *           to-pe1
4.4.4.4     3.3.3.3      Dn    0  *           to-pe4
2.2.2.2     3.3.3.3      Up    0  *           to-pe2
Total 4 displayed, Up 3, Down 1
```

2. On Router PE1, use the **show mpls lsp** command to verify the state of the dynamic LSPs.

Router PE1 is using a dynamic point-to-multipoint LSP template configured with link protection. Notice that the LSP state is **Up** and that link protection is **desired**.

```
user@PE1# show mpls lsp p2mp ingress extensive
```

```
Ingress LSP: 1 sessions
P2MP name: 1.1.1.1:1:vpls:GOLD, P2MP branch count: 1

3.3.3.3
From: 1.1.1.1, State: Up, ActiveRoute: 0, LSPname: 3.3.3.3:1.1.1.1:1:vpls:GOLD
ActivePath: (primary)
P2MP name: 1.1.1.1:1:vpls:GOLD
Link protection desired
LoadBalance: Random
Encoding type: Packet, Switching type: Packet, GPID: IPv4
*Primary                               State: Up
  Priorities: 7 0
  OptimizeTimer: 50
  SmartOptimizeTimer: 180
  Reoptimization in 45 second(s).
  Computed ERO (S [L] denotes strict [loose] hops): (CSPF metric: 3)
10.10.3.2 S 10.10.9.2 S 10.10.8.1 S
  Received RRO (ProtectionFlag 1=Available 2=InUse 4=B/W 8=Node 10=SoftPreempt):
    10.10.3.2(Label=488645) 4.4.4.4(flag=0x21) 10.10.9.2(flag=1 Label=299936) 10.10.8.1(Label=262145)

12 Nov 16 15:38:08.116 CSPF: computation result ignored[314 times]
11 Nov 16 11:23:44.856 Link-protection Up
10 Nov 16 11:23:32.696 CSPF: computation result ignored[3 times]
 9 Nov 16 11:22:47.859 Record Route: 10.10.3.2(Label=488645) 4.4.4.4(flag=0x21) 10.10.9.2(flag=1
Label=299936) 10.10.8.1(Label=262145)
```

```

8 Nov 16 11:22:44.910 Record Route: 10.10.3.2(Label=488645) 4.4.4.4(flag=0x20) 10.10.9.2(Label=299936)
10.10.8.1(Label=262145)
7 Nov 16 11:22:44.910 Up
6 Nov 16 11:22:44.910 10.10.3.1: Down
5 Nov 16 11:22:44.866 Selected as active path
4 Nov 16 11:22:44.864 Record Route: 10.10.3.2(Label=488629) 4.4.4.4(flag=0x20) 10.10.9.2(Label=299920)
10.10.8.1(Label=3)
3 Nov 16 11:22:44.864 Up
2 Nov 16 11:22:44.852 Originate Call
1 Nov 16 11:22:44.852 CSPF: computation result accepted 10.10.3.2 10.10.9.2 10.10.8.1
Created: Mon Nov 16 11:22:45 2009
Total 1 displayed, Up 1, Down 0

```

3. On Router PE3, use the **monitor interface traffic** command to verify the multicast replication behavior for the point-to-multipoint LSP on the designated forwarder Router PE3.

The output shows that **10,000** pps are received on interface **ge-1/0/0** from Router CE3. The traffic has been forwarded to the provider (P) Router P2 and Router PE4 through **xe-0/0/0** and **xe-0/1/0**, respectively. Based on the output, you can determine that a single copy of the packet is being sent to Router P2 and Router PE4.

user@PE3> **monitor interface traffic**

```

PE3                               Seconds: 8                               Time: 11:58:40

```

Interface	Link	Input packets	(pps)	Output packets	(pps)
lc-0/0/0	Up	0		0	
xe-0/0/0	Up	13570505	(0)	4507338866	(10000)
lc-0/1/0	Up	0		0	
xe-0/1/0	Up	292843	(1)	628972219	(10000)
lc-0/2/0	Up	0		0	
xe-0/2/0	Up	343292	(0)	206808	(1)
lc-0/3/0	Up	0		0	
xe-0/3/0	Down	0	(0)	0	(0)
ge-1/0/0	Up	2703709733	(9999)	13203544	(1)
lc-1/0/0	Up	0		0	
ge-1/0/1	Down	50380341937	(0)	60024542111	(0)
ge-1/0/2	Down	60652323068	(0)	84480825838	(0)
ge-1/0/3	Down	81219536264	(0)	84614255165	(0)
ge-1/0/4	Down	54379241112	(0)	83656815208	(0)

4. On Router P2, use the **monitor interface traffic** command to verify that the multicast packet replication happens close to the PE routers connected to the receivers.

Router PE1 and Router PE5 are connected to receivers that have joined this multicast group. Notice that incoming multicast packets from Router PE3 on the **ge-0/1/0** interface are replicated twice and sent out on the **ge-1/1/0** interface.

user@P2> **monitor interface traffic**

```

P2                               Seconds: 6                               Time: 12:07:58

```

Interface	Link	Input packets	(pps)	Output packets	(pps)
ge-0/1/0	Up	661459806	(10000)	116236	(0)
ge-1/1/0	Up	115956	(0)	1322690473	(20000)
gr-2/1/0	Up	0	(0)	0	(0)
ip-2/1/0	Up	0	(0)	0	(0)

5. On Router PE3, use the **show vpls flood** command to verify information about the flood next-hop route.

9.0 and later identifies the flood next-hop route as a composite next hop. Notice that the interface is **ge-1/0/0.1**, the next-hop type is **composite**, and that the flood composition is **flood-to-all**. This means the traffic is flooded to all the PE routers.

```
user@PE3# show vpls flood extensive
```

```
Name: GOLD
```

```
CEs: 1
```

```
VEs: 1
```

```
Flood route prefix: 0x30002/51
```

```
Flood route type: FLOOD_GRP_COMP_NH
```

```
Flood route owner: __ves__
```

```
Flood group name: __ves__
```

```
Flood group index: 0
```

```
Nexthop type: comp
```

```
Nexthop index: 606
```

```
Flooding to:
```

Name	Type	NhType	Index
__all_ces__	Group	comp	603
Composition: split-horizon			
Flooding to:			
Name	Type	NhType	Index
ge-1/0/0.1	CE	ucst	578

```
Flood route prefix: 0x30003/51
```

```
Flood route type: FLOOD_GRP_COMP_NH
```

```
Flood route owner: __all_ces__
```

```
Flood group name: __all_ces__
```

```
Flood group index: 1
```

```
Nexthop type: comp
```

```
Nexthop index: 611
```

```
Flooding to:
```

Name	Type	NhType	Index
__ves__	Group	comp	594
Composition: flood-to-all			

```
Component p2mp NH (for all core facing interfaces):
```

```
Index
```

```
616
```

```
Flooding to:
```

Name	Type	NhType	Index
__all_ces__	Group	comp	603
Composition: split-horizon			
Flooding to:			
Name	Type	NhType	Index
ge-1/0/0.1	CE	ucst	578

```
Flood route prefix: 0x30001/51
```

```
Flood route type: FLOOD_GRP_COMP_NH
```

```
Flood route owner: __re_flood__
```

```
Flood group name: __re_flood__
```

```
Flood group index: 65534
```

```
Nexthop type: comp
```

```
Nexthop index: 598
```

```
Flooding to:
```

Name	Type	NhType	Index
__ves__	Group	comp	594
Composition: flood-to-all			

```
Component p2mp NH (for all core facing interfaces):
```

```

Index
616
Flooding to:
Name      Type      NhType      Index
__all_ces__ Group      comp        603
Composition: split-horizon
Flooding to:
Name      Type      NhType      Index
ge-1/0/0.1 CE        ucst        578
Name: __juniper_private1__
CEs: 0
VEs: 0

```

6. On Router PE3, use the **show vpls mac-table** command to verify that the MAC address of the PE router at the remote end of the VPLS has been learned and added to the MAC address table.

Notice that the MAC address is learned on the **ge-1/0/0.1** interface.

```

user@PE3# show vpls mac-table

MAC flags (S -static MAC, D -dynamic MAC,
          SE -Statistics enabled, NM -Non configured MAC)

Routing instance : GOLD
Bridging domain : __GOLD__, VLAN : NA
MAC              MAC      Logical
address          flags    interface
00:14:f6:75:78:00 D    ge-1/0/0.1

```

7. On Router PE3, use the **show route forwarding-table** command to verify that the forwarding table has the required entries with two labels: one for the VPLS service and the other for the next-hop interface.

```
user@PE3> show route forwarding-table family vpls vpn GOLD
```

```

Routing table: GOLD.vpls
VPLS:
Destination      Type RtRef Next hop      Type Index NhRef Netif
default          perm  0          dscd  574    1
lsi.1048832      intf  0          indr 1048575  4
                  10.10.7.1  Push 262147, Push 309680(top)  596    2 xe-0/0/0.0
lsi.1048836      intf  0          indr 1048574  4
                  10.10.7.1  Push 262179, Push 299856(top)  589    2 xe-0/0/0.0
00:10:db:e9:4e:b6/48
                  user    0          indr 1048574  4
                  10.10.7.1  Push 262179, Push 299856(top)  589    2 xe-0/0/0.0
00:12:1e:c6:98:00/48
                  user    0          indr 1048575  4
                  10.10.7.1  Push 262147, Push 309680(top)  596    2 xe-0/0/0.0
00:14:f6:75:78:00/48
                  user    0          ucst  578    4 ge-1/0/0.1
0x30002/51       user    0          comp  606    2
ge-1/0/0.1       intf  0          ucst  578    4 ge-1/0/0.1
0x30003/51       user    0          comp  611    2
0x30001/51       user    0          comp  598    2

```

Results The configuration and verification parts of this example have been completed. The following section is for your reference.

The relevant sample configuration for Router PE1 follows:

```
Router PE1  chassis {
              dump-on-panic;
              fpc 1 {
                pic 3 {
                  tunnel-services {
                    bandwidth 1g;
                  }
                }
              }
              network-services ethernet;
            }
            interfaces {
              xe-0/1/0 {
                unit 0 {
                  family inet {
                    address 10.10.2.1/30;
                  }
                  family mpls;
                }
              }
              xe-0/2/0 {
                unit 0 {
                  family inet {
                    address 10.10.3.1/30;
                  }
                  family mpls;
                }
              }
              xe-0/3/0 {
                unit 0 {
                  family inet {
                    address 10.10.1.1/30;
                  }
                  family mpls;
                }
              }
              ge-1/0/0 {
                vlan-tagging;
                encapsulation vlan-vpls;
                unit 1 {
                  encapsulation vlan-vpls;
                  vlan-id 1000;
                  family vpls;
                }
              }
              lo0 {
                unit 0 {
                  family inet {
                    address 1.1.1.1/32;
                  }
                }
              }
            }
            routing-options {
```

```
static {
    route 172.0.0.0/8 next-hop 172.19.59.1;
}
autonomous-system 65000;
}
protocols {
    rsvp {
        interface all;
        interface fxp0.0 {
            disable;
        }
        interface xe-0/3/0.0 {
            link-protection;
        }
        interface xe-0/2/0.0 {
            link-protection;
        }
        interface xe-0/1/0.0 {
            link-protection;
        }
    }
}
mpls {
    label-switched-path to-RR {
        to 7.7.7.7;
    }
    label-switched-path vpls-GOLD-p2mp-template {
        template;
        optimize-timer 50;
        link-protection;
        p2mp;
    }
    label-switched-path to-PE2 {
        to 2.2.2.2;
    }
    label-switched-path to-PE3 {
        to 3.3.3.3;
    }
    label-switched-path to-PE4 {
        to 4.4.4.4;
    }
    label-switched-path to-PE5 {
        to 5.5.5.5;
    }
    interface all;
    interface fxp0.0 {
        disable;
    }
}
bgp {
    group to-RR {
        type internal;
        local-address 1.1.1.1;
        family l2vpn {
            signaling;
        }
    }
    neighbor 7.7.7.7;
```

```

    }
  }
  ospf {
    traffic-engineering;
    area 0.0.0.0 {
      interface all;
      interface fxp0.0 {
        disable;
      }
    }
  }
}
routing-instances {
  GOLD {
    instance-type vpls;
    interface ge-1/0/0.1;
    route-distinguisher 1.1.1.1;
    provider-tunnel {
      rsvp-te {
        label-switched-path-template {
          vpls-GOLD-p2mp-template;
        }
      }
    }
  }
  vrf-target target:65000:1;
  protocols {
    vpls {
      site-range 8;
      no-tunnel-services;
      site CE1 {
        site-identifier 1;
        multi-homing;
        site-preference primary;
        interface ge-1/0/0.1;
      }
    }
  }
}
}

```

The relevant sample configuration for Router PE2 follows.

```

PE2 Router  chassis {
              dump-on-panic;
              fpc 1 {
                pic 3 {
                  tunnel-services {
                    bandwidth 1g;
                  }
                }
              }
              network-services ethernet;
            }
            interfaces {
              xe-0/1/0 {
                unit 0 {

```



```

        family inet {
            address 10.10.2.2/30;
        }
        family mpls;
    }
}
xe-0/2/0 {
    unit 0 {
        family inet {
            address 10.10.5.1/30;
        }
        family mpls;
    }
}
xe-0/3/0 {
    unit 0 {
        family inet {
            address 10.10.4.1/30;
        }
        family mpls;
    }
}
ge-1/0/1 {
    vlan-tagging;
    encapsulation vlan-vpls;
}
ge-1/0/3 {
    vlan-tagging;
    encapsulation vlan-vpls;
    unit 1 {
        encapsulation vlan-vpls;
        vlan-id 1000;
        family vpls;
    }
}
fxp0 {
    apply-groups [ re0 re1 ];
}
lo0 {
    unit 0 {
        family inet {
            address 2.2.2.2/32;
        }
    }
}
}
routing-options {
    static {
        route 172.0.0.0/8 next-hop 172.19.59.1;
    }
    autonomous-system 65000;
}
protocols {
    rsvp {
        interface all;
        interface fxp0.0 {

```

```
        disable;
    }
}
mpls {
    label-switched-path to-RR {
        to 7.7.7.7;
    }
    label-switched-path vpls-GOLD-p2mp-template {
        template;
        optimize-timer 50;
        link-protection;
        p2mp;
    }
    label-switched-path to-PE1 {
        to 1.1.1.1;
    }
    label-switched-path to-PE3 {
        to 3.3.3.3;
    }
    label-switched-path to-PE4 {
        to 4.4.4.4;
    }
    label-switched-path to-PE5 {
        to 5.5.5.5;
    }
    interface all;
    interface fxp0.0 {
        disable;
    }
}
bgp {
    group to-RR {
        type internal;
        local-address 2.2.2.2;
        family l2vpn {
            signaling;
        }
        neighbor 7.7.7.7;
    }
}
ospf {
    traffic-engineering;
    area 0.0.0.0 {
        interface all;
        interface fxp0.0 {
            disable;
        }
    }
}
}
routing-instances {
    GOLD {
        instance-type vpls;
        interface ge-1/0/3.1;
        route-distinguisher 2.2.2.2:10;
        provider-tunnel {
```

```
    rsvp-te {
      label-switched-path-template {
        vpls-GOLD-p2mp-template;
      }
    }
  }
  vrf-target target:65000:1;
  protocols {
    vpls {
      site-range 8;
      no-tunnel-services;
      site CE1 {
        site-identifier 1;
        multi-homing;
        site-preference backup;
        interface ge-1/0/3.1;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

**Related
Documentation**

- [Example: NG-VPLS Using Point-to-Multipoint LSPs](#)
- [Next-Generation VPLS Point-to-Multipoint Forwarding Overview](#)
- [Next-Generation VPLS for Multicast with Multihoming Overview on page 1](#)

