

Chapter 3

GMPLS

Generalized Multiprotocol Label Switching (GMPLS) is the next-generation implementation of Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS). GMPLS extends the functionality of MPLS to include a wider range of label-switched path (LSP) options for a variety of network devices.

This document assumes you have a general understanding of MPLS, label switching concepts, and GMPLS Phase 1. For more information about MPLS, see the *JUNOS Internet Software Configuration Guide: MPLS Applications*. For more information about GMPLS Phase 1, see the *JUNOS 5.5 Internet Software Feature Guide* at: <http://www.juniper.net/techpubs/software/junos/junos55/feature-guide55/feature-guide-55.pdf>.

This feature guide covers these topics:

Overview on page 123

System Requirements on page 125

Terms and Acronyms on page 125

GMPLS Phase 2 Implementation on page 126

Configure GMPLS on page 128

Example: GMPLS Configuration on page 134

Check Your Work on page 138

For More Information on page 145

Revision History on page 145


Overview

Traditional MPLS is designed to carry Layer 3 IP traffic by establishing IP-based paths and associating these paths with arbitrarily assigned labels. These labels can either be configured explicitly by a network administrator or dynamically assigned by a protocol such as Label Distribution Protocol (LDP) or Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP).

In contrast, GMPLS can carry varying types of Layer 1 through Layer 3 traffic. GMPLS labels and LSPs can be processed at four levels, as depicted in Figure 19 on page 124. The levels are Fiber-Switched Capable (FSC), Lambda-Switched Capable (LSC), Time Division Multiplexing Switched Capable (TDM), and Packet-Switched Capable (PSC).

Label-switched paths (LSPs) must start and end on links with the same switching capability. To send an LSP, a label-switched device must communicate with another device at the same layer of the Open System Interconnection (OSI) model. Thus, routers can set up PSC LSPs with other routers at Layer 3, while SONET Add Drop Multiplexers (ADMs) can establish time division multiplexing (TDM) LSPs with other ADMs at Layer 1. As seen in Figure 19, a router PSC LSP can be carried over a TDM LSP, a TDM LSP can be carried over a wavelength LSC, and so on.

Figure 19: GMPLS LSP Hierarchy



This extension of the MPLS protocol expands the number of devices that can participate in label-switching. Lower layer devices, such as optical cross-connects (OXCs) and SONET ADMs, can now participate in GMPLS signaling and set up paths to transfer data. Additionally, routers can participate in signaling optical paths across a transport network.

GMPLS labeling is also more flexible than MPLS. A GMPLS label can represent a Time Division Multiplexing (TDM) timeslot, a Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) wavelength (also known as a lambda), or a physical port number. The labels can be derived from physical components of the network devices, such as interfaces.

There are two service models for GMPLS. Each model determines how much visibility a client node, such as a router, has into the optical core or transport network. The first model is a User to Network Interface (UNI), which is often referred to as the overlay model. The second is known as the peer model. Juniper Networks supports both models.

To enable multilayer LSPs, GMPLS uses the following mechanisms:

Separation of the control channel from the data channel—A new protocol called Link Management Protocol (LMP) is used to define and manage both control channels and data channels between GMPLS peers. Messages for GMPLS LSP setup are sent from one device to a peer device over an out-of-band control channel. Once the LSP setup is complete and the path is provisioned, the data channel is established and can be used to carry traffic. In GMPLS, the control channel is always separate from the data channel.

RSVP-TE extensions for GMPLS—RSVP-TE was designed to signal the setup of packet LSPs only. The protocol has been extended to request path setup for non-packet LSPs that use wavelengths, timeslots, and fibers as potential labels.

OSPF extensions for GMPLS—OSPF was designed to route packets to physical and logical interfaces related to a Physical Interface Card (PIC). This protocol has been extended to route packets to virtual peer interfaces defined in an LMP configuration.

Bidirectional LSPs—Unlike unidirectional LSP paths found in the standard, packet-based version of MPLS, data can travel both ways between GMPLS devices over a single LSP path. Non-packet LSPs in GMPLS are bidirectional by default.

GMPLS is intended to bridge the gap between the traditional transport infrastructure and the IP layer. Since this protocol is supported by several network industry organizations and standards bodies, GMPLS is designed to enable multivendor interoperability and multilayer functionality. In the near future, routers will be able to make dynamic requests for extra bandwidth on demand from the optical network. Consequently, service providers envision GMPLS as a means to set up optical circuits and services dynamically instead of manually. Many industry professionals are cautiously optimistic regarding the advent of GMPLS, and Juniper Networks is pleased to continue its support for this protocol.

System Requirements

To implement GMPLS Phase 2, your system must meet these minimum requirements:

JUNOS Release 5.6 or later

Two Juniper Networks M-series or T-series platforms, and two optical cross-connects (OXC) that support GMPLS

Terms and Acronyms

Generalized Multiprotocol Label Switching (GMPLS)—An extension to MPLS that allows data from multiple layers to be switched over label-switched paths (LSPs). GMPLS LSPs are possible between equivalent Layer 1, Layer 2, and Layer 3 devices. For more information about GMPLS and MPLS, see the *JUNOS Internet Software Configuration Guide: MPLS Applications*.

Control Adjacency—A signaling path between peer devices in a GMPLS network that typically travels across virtual peer interfaces. Protocols are enabled on the control adjacency, which can have one or more associated control channels.

control channel—The actual interfaces where protocol packets are sent and received by GMPLS peers. If more than one control channel is configured, LMP selects which control channel is active.

Forwarding Adjacency—A forwarding path for sending data between peer devices in a GMPLS network.

GMPLS Label—A fiber port, TDM timeslot, DWDM wavelength, or data packet identifier of a GMPLS-enabled device used as a next-hop identifier.

GMPLS LSP Types—There are 4 types of LSPs in a GMPLS network:

Fiber-Switched Capable (FSC): LSPs are switched between two fiber-based devices, such as optical cross-connects (OXCs), that operate at the level of individual fibers.

Lambda-Switched Capable (LSC): LSPs are switched between two DWDM devices, such as such as OXCs, that operate at the level of individual wavelengths.

TDM-Switched Capable (TDM): LSPs are switched between two TDM devices, such as SONET ADMs.

Packet-Switched Capable (PSC): LSPs are switched between two packet-based devices, such as routers or ATM switches.

Link Management Protocol—A GMPLS-related protocol used to define control adjacencies and forwarding adjacencies between peers and to maintain and allocate resources on traffic engineering links (TE links).

Traffic Engineering Link (TE Link)—A logical connection between GMPLS-enabled devices. TE links can have addresses or IDs and are associated with certain resources or interfaces. They also have certain inherent attributes, such as encoding-type, switching capability, and bandwidth. Each TE link represents a forwarding adjacency between a pair of devices.

GMPLS Phase 2 Implementation

The major changes between GMPLS Phase 1 and GMPLS Phase 2 are as follows:

You must configure one or more control channels between peers when you configure LMP (in addition to the existing statements for LMP peers and TE links). The control channels must travel across a point-to-point link or tunnel. To configure, include the control-channel statement at the [edit protocols link-management peer *peer-name*] hierarchy level.

OSPF and RSVP have been extended to allow control adjacencies between peers using virtual peer interfaces. The peer interfaces are derived from LMP and can be used for the control adjacency between peers instead of the physical interfaces. To configure for OSPF, include the peer-interface statement at the [edit protocols ospf area *area-number*] hierarchy level. To configure for RSVP, include the peer-interface statement at the [edit protocols rsvp] hierarchy level. However, when you enable peer interfaces, you must disable RSVP and OSPF on all physical control channel interfaces. Alternately, you can omit the physical control channel interfaces when configuring these protocols.

The constrained shortest-path first (CSPF) algorithm has been extended to permit use with non-packet LSPs. In GMPLS Phase 2, the no-cspf statement can be omitted from the LSP configuration because it is no longer mandatory. When this statement is omitted, you must configure the signal type attribute for the LSP. For CSPF to work correctly, OSPF extensions for GMPLS need to be implemented on all devices in the GMPLS network.

LSP paths now can be strict, loose, or dynamic for GMPLS LSPs because TE link information is now exchanged by OSPF. (GMPLS Phase 1 required strict LSP paths.)

JUNOS Release 5.6 or later supports the following GMPLS functionality:

Out-of-band signaling controls the setup of LSP paths, enabling a control plane that is separate from the data plane.

RSVP-TE extensions support additional objects beyond Layer 3 packets, such as ports, timeslots, and wavelengths.

Link Management Protocol (LMP) creates and maintains a database of TE links, control channels, and peer information. Only the static version of this protocol is supported.

Bidirectional LSPs are required between non-packet GMPLS devices.

Several GMPLS label types are defined in the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Internet draft *Generalized MPLS - Signaling Functional Description*. The MPLS, Generalized, SONET/SDH, Suggested, and Upstream label types are supported.

Generalized labels do not contain a type field because the nodes are expected to know from the context of their connection what type of label to expect. For example, an encoding type, such as Ethernet or SONET/SDH, is determined by the resources in a TE link.

Traffic parameters facilitate GMPLS bandwidth encoding and SONET/SDH formatting.

Interface Identification/Errored Interface Identification, UNI-style signaling, and Secondary LSP paths are supported.

Original channelized interfaces (such as Channelized OC-12 to DS-3, Channelized OC-3 to T1, and Channelized STM-1 to E1) support GMPLS signaling.

The following functionality is *not* supported in this release:

Notify messages

Graceful restart

RSVP-TE over unnumbered links

GMPLS routing extensions for IS-IS

GMPLS link bundling

Dynamic LMP

For additional information about GMPLS standards, see “For More Information” on page 145.



Caution

There is not necessarily a one-to-one correspondence between a physical interface and a GMPLS interface. If a GMPLS connection uses a non-channelized physical connector, the GMPLS label can use the physical port ID. However, the label for channelized interfaces often is based on a channel or timeslot. Consequently, it is best not to refer to GMPLS labels as “interfaces.” To avoid confusion, refer to them as traffic engineering links (TE links) and refer to the physical interfaces as resources.

How it Works

GMPLS requires close interaction between LMP, RSVP, and OSPF. The following sequence of events describes how GMPLS works:

1. LMP notifies RSVP and OSPF of the control peer, the control adjacency, and resources for the TE link.
2. GMPLS extracts the LSP attributes from the configuration and requests RSVP to signal one or more specific paths, specified by the TE link addresses.
3. RSVP determines the local TE link, corresponding control adjacency and active control channel, and transmission parameters (such as IP destination). It requests that LMP allocate a resource from the TE link with the specified attributes. If LMP successfully finds a resource matching the attributes, label allocation succeeds. RSVP sends a PathMsg hop-by-hop until it reaches the target router.
4. The target router, on receiving the RSVP PathMsg, requests that LMP allocate a resource based on the signaled parameters. If label allocation succeeds, it sends back a ResvMsg.
5. If the signaling is successful, an optical path is provisioned.

Configure GMPLS

You must complete the following tasks to implement GMPLS:

Configure Link Management Protocol Traffic Engineering Links on page 129

Configure Link Management Protocol Peers on page 129

Configure Peer Interfaces on OSPF and RSVP on page 130

Establish GMPLS LSP Path Information on page 130

Define GMPLS Label-Switched Paths (LSPs) on page 131

Discover Local Identifiers and Configure Remote Identifiers on page 132

To view a GMPLS configuration example, see the following sections:

Example: GMPLS Configuration on page 134

Check Your Work on page 138

Configure Link Management Protocol Traffic Engineering Links

To begin your GMPLS configuration, enable LMP to define the data channel interconnection between devices at the [edit protocols link-management] hierarchy level.

To configure data channels in LMP, include the te-link *te-link-name* statement at the [edit protocols link-management] hierarchy level. Define all TE link options shown (You will configure remote-id statements at the te-link and interface levels later). We recommend that you use a different IP address and mask on your TE link addresses from the ones configured on your physical interfaces. This way, you can identify which addresses are physical and which ones belong to the TE link.

```
[edit]
  protocols {
    link-management {
      te-link te-link-name {      # Collection of physical ports or timeslots
        local-address ip-address; # Local IP address associated with the TE link
        remote-address ip-address;# Remote IP address mapped to the TE link
        interface interface-name { # Name of the router interface used for data transfer
          local-address ip-address; # Local IP address associated with the TE link
          remote-address ip-address;<# Remote IP address mapped to the TE link
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Configure Link Management Protocol Peers

After you set up TE links, configure LMP network peers with the peer statement at the [edit protocols link-management] hierarchy level. A peer is the network device that your router communicates with when setting up the control and data channels. Often, the peer is an OXC. Designate a peer name, configure the peer's router ID as the address (often a loopback address), specify the interface that will be used as a control channel, and apply the TE link to be associated with this peer.

You can configure one or more control channels for a peer. The control channels must have point-to-point connectivity with the peer (for example, you can use a point-to-point link or a tunnel). To configure, include the control-channel statement at the [edit protocols link-management peer *peer-name*] hierarchy level. Without a control channel, the configuration will fail to commit.

```
[edit]
  protocols {
    link-management {
      peer peer-name {          # Configure the name of your network peer.
        address ip-address;<# Include the router-ID of the peer.
        control-channel interface;<# Specify the router interface used as the control channel.
        te-link te-link-name;<# Assign a TE link to this peer.
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Configure Peer Interfaces on OSPF and RSVP

After you establish LMP peers, add peer interfaces to OSPF and RSVP. A peer interface is a virtual interface used to support a control adjacency between two peers. OSPF and RSVP form adjacencies between peers by using the peer interfaces instead of the physical interfaces.

Because actual protocol packets are sent and received by peer interfaces, the peer interfaces can be signaled and advertised to peers like any other interface enabled for RSVP and OSPF. The peer interface name must match the peer name configured in LMP. To configure RSVP signaling for LMP peers, include the `peer-interface` statement at the `[edit protocols rsvp]` hierarchy level. To configure OSPF routing for LMP peers, include the `peer-interface` statement at the `[edit protocols ospf area area-number]` hierarchy level.

```
[edit]
protocols {
  rsvp {
    peer-interface peer-name {# Configure the name of your LMP peer.
  }
  ospf {
    area area-number {
      peer-interface peer-name {# Configure the name of your LMP peer.
    }
  }
}
```



Note

When adding the virtual peer interfaces to RSVP and OSPF, do not configure the corresponding physical control channel interface in either protocol. If the interface all option is used, you must disable the protocols manually on the control channel interface.

To disable OSPF, use the `disable` statement at the `[edit protocols ospf area area-number interface interface-name]` hierarchy level.

To disable RSVP, use the `disable` statement at the `[edit protocols rsvp interface interface-name]` hierarchy.

Establish GMPLS LSP Path Information

When you configure LSP paths for GMPLS, you must use the TE link remote address as your next-hop address. When CSPF is supported, you can use any path option you wish. However, when CSPF is disabled with the `no-cspf` statement at the `[edit protocols mpls label-switched-path lsp-name]` hierarchy level, you must use strict paths.

```
[edit]
protocols {
  mpls {
    path path-name {
      next-hop-address (strict | loose);
    }
  }
}
```

Define GMPLS Label-Switched Paths (LSPs)

Next, define LSP attributes at the [protocols mpls label-switched-path] hierarchy level. To enable the proper GMPLS switching parameters, configure the attributes appropriate for your network connection. The default values, which are also appropriate for standard MPLS, are ipv4 for *gpip*, none for *signal-bandwidth*, and *psc-1* for *switching-type*. (In JUNOS Release 5.6 or later, the *signal-bandwidth* statement replaces the *signal-type* statement. Also, virtual tributary (VT) 1.5 and 2.0 SONET/SDH bandwidth options are now available at the [edit protocols mpls label-switched-path *LSP-name* lsp-attributes *signal-bandwidth*] hierarchy level.)

```
[edit]
protocols {
  mpls {
    label-switched-path Lsp-name {
      from ip-address;
      to ip-address;
      primary path-name;
      secondary path-name;
      no-cspf; # This statement to disable CSPF is optional.
      lsp-attributes { # Attributes determine the selection of an LSP
        gpip type; # Payload type, such as Ethernet or PPP
        signal-bandwidth type; # Bandwidth encoding, such as DS-3 or STM-16
        switching-type type; # Switching method, such as psc-1 or lambda
      }
    }
  }
}
```



Note

Because MPLS and GMPLS use the same configuration hierarchy for LSPs, it is helpful to know which LSP attributes control LSP functionality. Standard MPLS packet-switched LSPs are unidirectional, while GMPLS non-packet LSPs are bidirectional.

If you use the default packet switching type of *psc-1*, your LSP becomes unidirectional. To enable a GMPLS bidirectional LSP, you must select a non-packet switching type option, such as *lambda*, *fiber*, or *ethernet*, at the [edit protocols mpls label-switched-path *Lsp-name* lsp-attributes] hierarchy level.

Discover Local Identifiers and Configure Remote Identifiers

Once LMP is enabled on a router, the router automatically assigns two local IDs: one at the te-link level, the other at the interface level. You must configure these port-to-label mappings manually for LMP on both the router and its peer. To configure, set the local IDs of one device (such as the router) as remote IDs on the peer device (such as an OXC) with the remote-id statement at the [edit protocols link-management te-link *te-link-name*] and [edit protocols link-management te-link *te-link-name* interface] hierarchy levels.

You can view the TE link and interface local IDs by using the show link-management te-link command. Once you have learned these IDs, configure them as remote-id statements at the corresponding te-link and interface levels on the peer.

Because peers vary, check with your OXC vendor for the configuration statements and location of the local ID information for your specific optical peer device. If you do not manage the peer device, ask the peer's administrator to enable LMP and generate the IDs for you. GMPLS will not work unless these local IDs from both the router and the peer are configured as remote IDs on the opposite device.

```
[edit]
  protocols {
    link-management {
      te-link te-link-name {
        remote-id id-number;      # TE link ID number of the peer device
        interface interface-name { # Name of the router interface used for data transfer
          remote-id id-number;    # Interface-related ID number of the remote device
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Figure 20: TE Link and Interface ID Example




Figure 20 shows where the IDs come from and where you must assign them. This example highlights the connections between Router A and OXC1, but the same configuration concepts apply to all pairs of peers.

First, you configure a TE link named TE1 on Router A, which contains the local address 10.1.1.1, remote address 10.1.1.2, data channel interface so-0/0/0, and control channel interfaces so-0/0/2 and so-0/0/3. You also configure a TE link named TE2 on OXC1, which contains the local address 10.1.1.2, remote address 10.1.1.1, data channel interface so-0/0/1, and control channel interfaces so-0/0/2 and so-0/0/3. When the TE links are enabled on Router A and OXC1, these two peer devices each generate two local IDs: one for the TE link itself and one for the logical interface.


If Router A has a local ID of 12345 for its TE link and a local ID of 23456 for its interface, you must configure 12345 as the TE link remote-ID and 23456 as the interface remote-ID on the TE2 TE link of OXC1. Similarly, if OXC1 has local IDs of 98765 for its TE link and 54321 for its interface, you configure Router A's TE1 TE link with 98765 as the TE link remote-ID and 54321 as the interface remote-ID.

To complete the full data path, you need to enable LMP on each link in the path. This means you must configure remote-ID and local-ID pairs between linked devices. In Figure 20, you would enable LMP three times: between Router A and OXC1, from OXC1 to OXC2, and between OXC2 and Router C.

g003071

Example: GMPLS Configuration

Figure 21: GMPLS Topology Diagram



In Figure 21, a control channel is established between Router A and OXC 1, OXC 1 and OXC 2, and OXC2 and Router C. A data channel is enabled on a second connection between each pair of devices. The optical network cloud can contain OXCs, ADMs, or other lower-layer devices. In this example, OXC1 and OXC2 are in the direct data path between Routers A and C and the two OXCs have point-to-point connectivity with each other and the directly connected peer routers.

Starting with Router A, configure LMP TE links and peers to create a data channel and a control channel to connect with OXC1. To differentiate the logical TE link from the physical network, the local and remote addresses in the TE link are not related to the IP addresses assigned to the physical interfaces.

When you enable LMP peering on both Router A and OXC1, include the control channel interface as one of the peer statements. Use the name of the peer (in this case, oxc1) as the peer interface name when you add the peer-interface statement to RSVP at the [edit protocols rsvp] hierarchy level and OSPF at the [edit protocols ospf area *area-number*] hierarchy level.

The peer-interface statement adds the remote address and local address from your LMP configuration into the routing and signaling processes activated between Router A and OXC1. Make sure the physical control channel is a point-to-point link and has some form of IP reachability through static routes, an interior gateway protocol (IGP), or BGP (this example uses OSPF). Another way to achieve point-to-point links, especially if there are multiple hops between peers, is to use a Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) tunnel for the control channel.

Next, configure an MPLS LSP on Router A to reach Router C. For this example, assume your data plane connection uses STM-1 and Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP) over a fiber-switched network. Configure these LSP attributes in the LSP. Because this LSP does not use packet switching, a bidirectional LSP is enabled by default. As a result, you do not need to configure a return path LSP on Router C.

Finally, remember to discover the local IDs and configure them on OXC1 with the remote-id statement at the [edit protocols link-management te-link *te-link-name*] and [edit protocols link-management te-link *te-link-name* interface] hierarchy levels. For Router A, use the command show link-management te-link to find Router A's two local IDs (te-link and interface); then configure these IDs as remote IDs on OXC1 at the equivalent hierarchy levels.

```

Router A [edit]
interfaces {
  so-0/0/0 {
    description "Data channel to OXC1";
    encapsulation ppp;
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 10.255.3.2/30 {
          destination 10.255.3.1;
        }
      }
      family mpls;
    }
  }
  so-0/3/0 {
    description "Control channel to OXC1";
    encapsulation ppp;
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 10.255.6.1/30 {
          destination 10.255.6.2;
        }
      }
      family mpls;
    }
  }
  lo0 {
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 10.255.255.35/32;
      }
    }
  }
}
protocols
  rsvp {
    interface all;
    interface so-0/3/0.0 {
      disable;
    }
    peer-interface oxc1;
  }

```

```

mpls {
  label-switched-path gmpls-lsp1 {
    to 10.255.255.40;
    lsp-attributes {
      signal-bandwidth stm-1;
      switching-type fiber;
      gpid ppp;
    }
    primary path-lsp1;
  }
  path path-lsp1 {
    10.35.100.1 strict; # This example does not disable CSPF, so this step is optional.
    10.35.150.1 strict;
    10.35.200.1 strict;
  }
  interface all;
}
ospf {
  area 0.0.0.0 {
    interface lo0.0;
    interface fxp0.0 {
      disable;
    }
  }
  peer-interface oxc1;
}
link-management {
  te-link te-oxc1 {
    local-address 10.35.100.2;
    remote-address 10.35.100.1;
    remote-id 8256;
    interface t3-3/3/0:0 {
      local-address 10.35.100.2;
      remote-address 10.35.100.1;
      remote-id 65536;
    }
  }
  peer oxc1 {
    address 10.255.255.69;
    control-channel so-0/3/0.0;
    te-link te-oxc1;
  }
}
}

```

On OXC1, complete your configuration of the control channel and the TE link data channel to Router A. Refer to your OXC vendor's instructions to configure a TE link on your specific device. Enable LMP peering, configure Router A's local IDs as remote IDs on OXC1, and discover OXC1's local IDs. Finally, configure OXC1's local IDs as remote IDs on Router A.

In the optical network between your OXCs, configure a TE link and a control channel between OXC1 and OXC2. Refer to the OXC vendor's instructions to configure this link. For the example shown in Figure 21 on page 134, you can assume a TE link with an address space of 10.255.150.x/30 has been enabled over a physical network with IP addresses 10.255.2.x/30. Also, a control channel has been created over the 10.255.4.x/30 link.

On OXC2, configure a TE link to Router A. Refer to your OXC vendor's instructions to configure this TE link on your device. Enable LMP peering, configure Router C's local IDs as remote-IDs on OXC2, and discover OXC2's local IDs. Finally, configure OXC2's local IDs as remote IDs on Router C.

Now you are ready to complete this GMPLS example. On Router C, set up your TE link, LMP peer, and control channel statements to connect to OXC2. As with Router A, the local and remote addresses in the TE link on Router C are not related to the IP addresses assigned to the physical interface.

Next, configure RSVP, MPLS, and OSPF to match the control channel protocols you configured on Router A. You do not need to set up an LSP on Router C because Router A's non-packet LSP is bidirectional by default. Also, because RSVP is enabled for all interfaces and you are using a peer interface, you must disable RSVP on the physical control channel interface so-0/3/2.

After you enable LMP on both Router C and OXC2, discover the local IDs and configure them as remote IDs on OXC2. For Router C, use the command `show link-management te-link` to discover Router C's two local IDs (te-link and interface); then configure these IDs as remote IDs on OXC2 at the equivalent hierarchy levels.

```
Router C [edit]
interfaces {
  so-0/3/2 {
    description "Control channel to OXC2";
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 10.255.4.2/30 {
          destination 10.255.4.1;
        }
      }
      family mpls;
    }
  }
  so-0/1/0 {
    description "Data channel to OXC2";
    encapsulation ppp;
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 10.255.1.1/30 {
          destination 10.255.1.2;
        }
      }
      family mpls;
    }
  }
  lo0 {
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 10.255.255.40/32;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```

protocols
  rsvp {
    interface all;
    interface so-0/3/2.0 {
      disabled;
    }
    peer-interface oxc2;
  }
  mpls {
    interface all;
  }
  ospf {
    area 0.0.0.0 {
      interface fxp0.0 {
        disable;
      }
      interface lo0.0;
      peer-interface oxc2;
    }
  }
  link-management {
    te-link te-oxc2 {
      local-address 10.35.200.1;
      remote-address 10.35.200.2;
      remote id 41060;
      interface so-0/1/0 {
        local-address 10.35.200.1;
        remote-address 10.35.200.2;
        remote-id 22278;
      }
    }
  }
  peer oxc2 {
    address 10.255.255.37;
    control-channel so-0/3/2.0;
    te-link te-oxc2;
  }
}

```

Check Your Work

To verify proper operation of GMPLS, you can use the following commands:

```

show link-management (te-link | peer)

show link-management routing (te-link | peer)

show mpls lsp (bidirectional | unidirectional)

show mpls lsp (detail | extensive)

show ospf interface

show ospf neighbor

show rsvp interface link-management

show rsvp session (bidirectional | unidirectional)

```

```

show rsvp session te-link

show rsvp session detail

show rsvp neighbor detail

show ted database extensive

RSVP traceoptions—Imp flag

```

The following sections show the output of these commands used with the configuration example:

Router A Status on page 139

Router C Status on page 144

Router A Status

After you enter the local-address, remote-address, and interface parameters in TE link te-oxc1 and commit the changes, the router automatically creates a local ID at the te-link and interface levels of the [edit protocols link-management] hierarchy. To view these IDs, issue the show link-management te-link command.

```

user@RouterA> show link-management te-link
TE link name: te-oxc1, State: Up
Local identifier: 8255, Remote identifier: 0, Local address: 10.35.100.2,
Remote address: 10.35.100.1, Encoding: SDH/SONET,
Minimum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Maximum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Total bandwidth:
155.52Mbps, Available bandwidth: 0bps

```

Name	Local ID	Remote ID	Bandwidth In use	LSP
so-0/0/0	65535	0	155.52Mbps No	

Once you find these values on Router A, configure them as remote IDs at the same hierarchy levels on OXC1. In this example, 8255 is Router A's local TE link ID (configure this as the TE link remote-ID on OXC1) and 65535 is Router A's local interface ID (configure this as the interface remote-ID on OXC1).

After you configure both remote IDs on both peers, the GMPLS TE links should work. Using the same command as before, you can verify whether the link is functional, with both remote and local IDs in place:

```

user@RouterA> show link-management te-link
TE link name: te-oxc1, State: Up
Local identifier: 8255, Remote identifier: 8256, Local address: 10.35.100.2,
Remote address: 10.35.100.1, Encoding: SDH/SONET,
Minimum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Maximum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Total bandwidth:
155.52Mbps, Available bandwidth: 0bps

```

Name	Local ID	Remote ID	Bandwidth In use	LSP
so-0/0/0	65535	65536	155.52Mbps Yes	gmpls-lsp1

To further verify proper operation, use the following commands:

```

user@RouterA> show link-management routing peer
Peer name: oxc1, System identifier: 13892
State: Up, Control address: 10.255.255.69
Control-channel          State
so-0/3/0.0              Active

```

```

user@RouterA> show link-management routing te-link
TE link name: te-oxcl, State: Up
Local identifier: 8255, Remote identifier: 8256, Local address: 10.35.100.2,
Remote address: 10.35.100.1, Encoding: SDH/SONET,
Minimum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Maximum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Total bandwidth:
155.52Mbps, Available bandwidth: 0bps

user@RouterA> show link-management peer
Peer name: oxcl, System identifier: 13892
State: Up, Control address: 10.255.255.69
Control-channel          State
so-0/3/0.0              Active
TE links:
te-oxcl

user@RouterA> show mpls lsp bidirectional
Ingress LSP: 1 sessions
To          From          State Rt ActivePath      P      LSPname
10.255.255.40 10.255.255.35 Up    0 path-lspl     *      gmpls-lspl Bidir
Total 1 displayed, Up 1, Down 0

Egress LSP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

Transit LSP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

user@RouterA> show mpls lsp bidirectional extensive
Ingress LSP: 1 sessions

10.255.255.40
From: 10.255.255.35, State: Up, ActiveRoute: 0, LSPname: gmpls-lspl
Bidirectional
ActivePath: path-lspl (primary)
LoadBalance: Random
Signal type: STM-1
Encoding type: SDH/SONET, Switching type: Fiber, GPID: PPP
*Primary path-lspl          State: Up
Bandwidth: 155.52Mbps
Computed ERO (S [L] denotes strict [loose] hops): (CSPF metric: 2)
10.35.100.1 S 10.35.150.1 S 10.35.200.1 S
Received RRO:
10.35.100.1 10.35.150.1 10.35.200.1
7 Nov 7 15:47:11 Selected as active path
6 Nov 7 15:47:11 Record Route: 10.35.100.1 10.35.150.1 10.35.200.1
5 Nov 7 15:47:11 Up
4 Nov 7 15:47:11 Update LSP Encoding Type
3 Nov 7 15:47:11 Originate Call
2 Nov 7 15:47:11 CSPF: computation result accepted
1 Nov 7 15:46:41 CSPF failed: no route toward 10.255.255.40
Created: Thu Nov 7 15:46:38 2002
Total 1 displayed, Up 1, Down 0

Egress LSP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

Transit LSP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

```

If you configure an LMP peer interface in OSPF, you can see that this virtual interface is treated as a point-to-point link. To view this, use the show ospf interface command.

```
user@RouterA> show ospf interface
Interface      State      Area          DR ID          BDR ID         Nbrs
lo0.0          DR         0.0.0.0       10.255.255.35 0.0.0.0        0
oxc1           PtToPt    0.0.0.0       0.0.0.0       0.0.0.0        1
```

The next command is useful because it indicates whether RSVP is disabled on the control channel. It also shows the state of the reservations on the TE links.

```
user@RouterA> show rsvp interface link-management
RSVP interface: 1 active
oxc1 State Up
Active control channel: so-0/3/0.0 RSVP disabled

TElink: te-oxc1, Local identifier: 8255
ActiveResv 1, PreemptionCnt 0
StaticBW: 155.52Mbps, ReservedBW: 155.52Mbps, AvailableBW: 0bps

user@RouterA> show rsvp session detail
Ingress RSVP: 1 sessions

10.255.255.40
  From: 10.255.255.35, LSPstate: Up, ActiveRoute: 0
  LSPname: gmpls-lspl, LSPpath: Primary
  Bidirectional, Upstream label in: 27676, Upstream label out: -
  Suggested label received: -, Suggested label sent: 27676
  Recovery label received: -, Recovery label sent: 60444
  Resv style: 1 FF, Label in: -, Label out: 60444
  Time left: -, Since: Thu Nov 7 15:47:11 2002
  Tspec: rate 0bps size 0bps peak 1.544Mbps m 20 M 1500
  Port number: sender 1 receiver 17 protocol 0
  PATH rcvfrom: localclient
  PATH sentto: 10.255.255.40 (oxc1) 157 pkts
  RESV rcvfrom: 10.255.255.40 (oxc1) 71 pkts
  Explt route: 10.35.100.1 10.35.150.1 10.35.200.1
  Record route: <self> 10.35.100.1 10.35.150.1 10.35.200.1
Total 1 displayed, Up 1, Down 0

Egress RSVP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

Transit RSVP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

user@RouterA> show rsvp session bidirectional
Ingress RSVP: 1 sessions
To          From          State Rt Style Labelin Labelout LSPname
10.255.255.40 10.255.255.35 Up    0 1 FF - 60444 gmpls-lspl Bidir
Total 1 displayed, Up 1, Down 0

Egress RSVP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

Transit RSVP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0
```

```

user@RouterA> show rsvp session te-link te-oxcl
Ingress RSVP: 1 sessions
To          From          State Rt Style Labelin Labelout LSPname
10.255.255.40 10.255.255.35 Up    0  1 FF      -    60444 gmpls-lspl Bidir
Total 1 displayed, Up 1, Down 0

Egress RSVP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

Transit RSVP: 0 sessions
Total 0 displayed, Up 0, Down 0

user@RouterA> show rsvp neighbor detail
RSVP neighbor: 1 learned
Address: 10.255.255.40 via: oxcl status: Up
Last changed time: 50:52, Idle: 0 sec, Up cnt: 1, Down cnt: 0
Message received: 145
Hello: sent 338, received: 338, interval: 9 sec
Remote instance: 0x643087c7, Local instance: 0x3271e0a4
Refresh reduction: not operational
Link protection: disabled
Bypass LSP: does not exist, Backup routes: 0, Backup LSPs: 0

user@RouterA> show ted database extensive
TED database: 0 ISIS nodes 4 INET nodes
NodeID: 10.255.255.35
Type: Rtr, Age: 2178 secs, LinkIn: 4, LinkOut: 5
Protocol: OSPF(0.0.0.0)
To: 10.255.255.69, Local: 10.35.100.2, Remote: 10.35.100.1
Metric: 1
Static BW: 155.52Mbps
Reservable BW: 155.52Mbps
Available BW [priority] bps:
[0] 0bps [1] 0bps [2] 0bps [3] 0bps
[4] 0bps [5] 0bps [6] 0bps [7] 0bps
Interface Switching Capability Descriptor(1):
Switching type: Fiber
Encoding type: SDH/SONET
Maximum LSP BW [priority] bps:
[0] 155.52Mbps [1] 155.52Mbps [2] 155.52Mbps [3] 155.52Mbps
[4] 155.52Mbps [5] 155.52Mbps [6] 155.52Mbps [7] 155.52Mbps
Minimum LSP BW: 155.52Mbps
Interface MTU: 2595
NodeID: 10.255.255.37
Type: Rtr, Age: 2852 secs, LinkIn: 5, LinkOut: 5
Protocol: OSPF(0.0.0.0)
To: 10.255.255.69, Local: 10.35.150.1, Remote: 10.35.150.2
Metric: 1
Static BW: 622.08Mbps
Reservable BW: 622.08Mbps
Available BW [priority] bps:
[0] 622.08Mbps [1] 622.08Mbps [2] 622.08Mbps [3] 622.08Mbps
[4] 622.08Mbps [5] 622.08Mbps [6] 622.08Mbps [7] 622.08Mbps
Interface Switching Capability Descriptor(1):
Switching type: Fiber
Encoding type: SDH/SONET
Maximum LSP BW [priority] bps:
[0] 622.08Mbps [1] 622.08Mbps [2] 622.08Mbps [3] 622.08Mbps
[4] 622.08Mbps [5] 622.08Mbps [6] 622.08Mbps [7] 622.08Mbps
Minimum LSP BW: 622.08Mbps
Interface MTU: 2597

```

```

To: 10.255.255.40, Local: 10.35.200.2, Remote: 10.35.200.1
Metric: 1
Static BW: 155.52Mbps
Reservable BW: 155.52Mbps
Available BW [priority] bps:
  [0] 0bps      [1] 0bps      [2] 0bps      [3] 0bps
  [4] 0bps      [5] 0bps      [6] 0bps      [7] 0bps
Interface Switching Capability Descriptor(1):
  Switching type: Fiber
  Encoding type: SDH/SONET
  Maximum LSP BW [priority] bps:
    [0] 155.52Mbps [1] 155.52Mbps [2] 155.52Mbps [3] 155.52Mbps
    [4] 155.52Mbps [5] 155.52Mbps [6] 155.52Mbps [7] 155.52Mbps
  Minimum LSP BW: 155.52Mbps
  Interface MTU: 2600
NodeID: 10.255.255.40
Type: Rtr, Age: 2854 secs, LinkIn: 2, LinkOut: 2
Protocol: OSPF(0.0.0.0)
To: 10.255.255.37, Local: 10.35.200.1, Remote: 10.35.200.2
Metric: 1
Static BW: 155.52Mbps
Reservable BW: 155.52Mbps
Available BW [priority] bps:
  [0] 0bps      [1] 0bps      [2] 0bps      [3] 0bps
  [4] 0bps      [5] 0bps      [6] 0bps      [7] 0bps
Interface Switching Capability Descriptor(1):
  Switching type: Fiber
  Encoding type: SDH/SONET
  Maximum LSP BW [priority] bps:
    [0] 155.52Mbps [1] 155.52Mbps [2] 155.52Mbps [3] 155.52Mbps
    [4] 155.52Mbps [5] 155.52Mbps [6] 155.52Mbps [7] 155.52Mbps
  Minimum LSP BW: 155.52Mbps
  Interface MTU: 2600
NodeID: 10.255.255.69
Type: Rtr, Age: 2832 secs, LinkIn: 8, LinkOut: 7
Protocol: OSPF(0.0.0.0)
To: 10.255.255.35, Local: 10.35.100.1, Remote: 10.35.100.2
Metric: 1
Static BW: 155.52Mbps
Reservable BW: 155.52Mbps
Available BW [priority] bps:
  [0] 0bps      [1] 0bps      [2] 0bps      [3] 0bps
  [4] 0bps      [5] 0bps      [6] 0bps      [7] 0bps
Interface Switching Capability Descriptor(1):
  Switching type: Fiber
  Encoding type: SDH/SONET
  Maximum LSP BW [priority] bps:
    [0] 155.52Mbps [1] 155.52Mbps [2] 155.52Mbps [3] 155.52Mbps
    [4] 155.52Mbps [5] 155.52Mbps [6] 155.52Mbps [7] 155.52Mbps
  Minimum LSP BW: 155.52Mbps
  Interface MTU: 2595

```

```

To: 10.255.255.37, Local: 10.35.150.2, Remote: 10.35.150.1
Metric: 1
Static BW: 622.08Mbps
Reservable BW: 622.08Mbps
Available BW [priority] bps:
  [0] 622.08Mbps  [1] 622.08Mbps  [2] 622.08Mbps  [3] 622.08Mbps
  [4] 622.08Mbps  [5] 622.08Mbps  [6] 622.08Mbps  [7] 622.08Mbps
Interface Switching Capability Descriptor(1):
Switching type: Fiber
Encoding type: SDH/SONET
Maximum LSP BW [priority] bps:
  [0] 622.08Mbps  [1] 622.08Mbps  [2] 622.08Mbps  [3] 622.08Mbps
  [4] 622.08Mbps  [5] 622.08Mbps  [6] 622.08Mbps  [7] 622.08Mbps
Minimum LSP BW: 622.08Mbps
Interface MTU: 2597

```

Router C Status

After you enter the local-address, remote-address, and interface parameters in TE link te-oxc2 and commit the changes, the router automatically creates a local ID at the te-link and interface levels of the [edit protocols link-management] hierarchy. To view these IDs, issue the show link-management te-link command.

```

user@RouterC> show link-management te-link
TE link name: te-oxc2, State: Up
Local identifier: 41059, Remote identifier: 0, Local address: 10.35.200.1,
Remote address: 10.35.200.2, Encoding: SDH/SONET,
Minimum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Maximum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Total bandwidth:
155.52Mbps, Available bandwidth: 0bps

```

Name	Local ID	Remote ID	Bandwidth In use	LSP
so-0/1/0	22277	0	155.52Mbps No	

Once you see what these values are, configure them as remote IDs at the same hierarchy levels on OXC2 where you found them on Router C. In this example, 41059 is Router C's local TE link ID (configure this as the TE link remote-ID on OXC2) and 22277 is Router C's local interface ID (configure this as the interface remote-ID on OXC2).

After you configure both remote IDs on both peers, the GMPLS TE links should work. Using the same command as before, you can determine whether the link is functional, with both remote and local IDs in place:

```

user@RouterC> show link-management te-link
TE link name: te-oxc2, State: Up
Local identifier: 41059, Remote identifier: 41060, Local address: 10.35.200.1,
Remote address: 10.35.200.2, Encoding: SDH/SONET,
Minimum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Maximum bandwidth: 155.52Mbps, Total bandwidth:
155.52Mbps, Available bandwidth: 0bps

```

Name	Local ID	Remote ID	Bandwidth In use	LSP
so-0/1/0	22277	22278	155.52Mbps Yes	gmpls-lsp1

The other show commands operate like those in "Router A Status" on page 139.

For More Information

For additional information about implementing GMPLS, see the following:

JUNOS Internet Software Configuration Guide: MPLS Applications

R. Braden, Editor, “Resource ReSerVation Protocol (RSVP),” RFC 2205, September 1997

L. Berger, editor, “Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Swit ching (GMPLS)—Signaling Functional Description ,” RFC 3471, January 2003

L. Berger, editor, “Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Swit ching (GMPLS) Signaling Resource ReserVation Protocol-Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE) Extensions ,” RFC 3473, January 2003

K. Kompella, Y. Rekhter, “LSP Hierarchy with Gener alized MPLS TE,” Internet draft, draft-ietf-mpls-lsp-hierarchy-08.txt, September 2002

K. Kompella and Y. Rekhter, Editors, “Routing Extensions in Support of Gener alized MPLS,” Internet draft, draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-routing-05.txt, August 2002

K. Kompella and Y. Rekhter, Editors, “OSPF Extensions in Support of Gener alized MPLS,” Internet draft, draft-ietf-ccamp-ospf-gmpls-extensions-08.txt, August 2002

K. Kompella, Y. Rekhter, and L. Berger, “Link Bundling in MPLS Traffic Engineering ,” Internet draft, draft-ietf-mpls-bundle-04.txt, July 2002

E. Mannie, Editor, “GMPLS Extensions f or SONET and SDH Contr ol,” Internet draft, draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-sonet-sdh-07.txt, October 2002

J. Lang et. al., “Link Management Pr otocol (LMP),” Internet draft, draft-ietf-ccamp-lmp-07.txt, November 2002

Revision History

30 June 2003—6.0R1 Release. Richard Hendricks.

2 April 2003—5.7R1 Release. Richard Hendricks.

27 December 2002—Added OSPF and CSPF updates, 5.6R1 Release. Richard Hendricks.

30 September 2002—Added one note for the 5.5R1 Release. Richard Hendricks.

19 July 2002—5.4R1 Release. Richard Hendricks.

28 June 2002—Revised commands and statements. Richard Hendricks.

6 May 2002—Initial document written. Richard Hendricks.

